



SNS COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY



Coimbatore-35.

An Autonomous Institution

**Accredited by NBA – AICTE and Accredited by NAAC – UGC with ‘A+’ Grade
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai**

COURSE NAME : 19CSB201 – OPERATING SYSTEMS

II YEAR/ IV SEMESTER

UNIT – III Storage Management

Topic: Memory Management : Swapping & Contiguous Memory Allocation



Swapping



- A process can be **swapped** temporarily out of memory to a backing store, and then brought back into memory for continued execution
 - Total physical memory space of processes can exceed physical memory
- **Backing store** – fast disk large enough to accommodate copies of all memory images for all users; must provide direct access to these memory images
- **Roll out, roll in** – swapping variant used for priority-based scheduling algorithms; lower-priority process is swapped out so higher-priority process can be loaded and executed
- Major part of swap time is transfer time; total transfer time is directly proportional to the amount of memory swapped
- System maintains a **ready queue** of ready-to-run processes which have memory images on disk




Swapping (Cont.)

- Does the swapped out process need to swap back in to same physical addresses?
- Depends on address binding method
 - Plus consider pending I/O to / from process memory space
- Modified versions of swapping are found on many systems (i.e., UNIX, Linux, and Windows)
 - Swapping normally disabled
 - Started if more than threshold amount of memory allocated
 - Disabled again once memory demand reduced below threshold



Schematic View of Swapping





Context Switch Time including Swapping

- If next processes to be put on CPU is not in memory, need to swap out a process and swap in target process
- Context switch time can then be very high
- 100MB process swapping to hard disk with transfer rate of 50MB/sec
 - Swap out time of 2000 ms
 - Plus swap in of same sized process
 - Total context switch swapping component time of 4000ms (4 seconds)
- Can reduce if reduce size of memory swapped – by knowing how much memory really being used
 - System calls to inform OS of memory use via `request_memory()` and `release_memory()`



Context Switch Time and Swapping (Cont.)

- Other constraints as well on swapping
 - Pending I/O – can't swap out as I/O would occur to wrong process
 - Or always transfer I/O to kernel space, then to I/O device
 - Known as **double buffering**, adds overhead
- Standard swapping not used in modern operating systems
 - But modified version common
 - Swap only when free memory extremely low



Swapping on Mobile Systems

- Not typically supported
 - Flash memory based
 - Small amount of space
 - Limited number of write cycles
 - Poor throughput between flash memory and CPU on mobile platform
- Instead use other methods to free memory if low
 - iOS **asks** apps to voluntarily relinquish allocated memory
 - Read-only data thrown out and reloaded from flash if needed
 - Failure to free can result in termination
 - Android terminates apps if low free memory, but first writes **application state** to flash for fast restart
 - Both OSes support paging as discussed below



Contiguous Memory Allocation

- Main memory must support both OS and user processes
- Limited resource, must allocate efficiently
- Contiguous allocation is one early method
- Main memory usually into two **partitions**:
 - Resident operating system, usually held in low memory with interrupt vector
 - User processes then held in high memory
 - Each process contained in single contiguous section of memory




Contiguous Allocation (Cont.)

- Relocation registers used to protect user processes from each other, and from changing operating-system code and data
 - Base register contains value of smallest physical address
 - Limit register contains range of logical addresses – each logical address must be less than the limit register
 - MMU maps logical address *dynamically*
 - Can then allow actions such as kernel code being **transient** and kernel changing size



Hardware Support for Relocation and Limit Registers






Multiple-partition allocation

- Multiple-partition allocation

- Degree of multiprogramming limited by number of partitions
- **Variable-partition** sizes for efficiency (sized to a given process' needs)
- **Hole** – block of available memory; holes of various size are scattered throughout memory
- When a process arrives, it is allocated memory from a hole large enough to accommodate it
- Process exiting frees its partition, adjacent free partitions combined
- Operating system maintains information about:
 - a) allocated partitions
 - b) free partitions (hole)





Dynamic Storage-Allocation Problem

How to satisfy a request of size n from a list of free holes?

- **First-fit**: Allocate the *first* hole that is big enough
- **Best-fit**: Allocate the *smallest* hole that is big enough; must search entire list, unless ordered by size
 - Produces the smallest leftover hole
- **Worst-fit**: Allocate the *largest* hole; must also search entire list

First-fit produces the largest leftover hole. Best-fit produces the smallest leftover hole. Worst-fit produces the largest leftover hole.



Fragmentation

- **External Fragmentation** – total memory space exists to satisfy a request, but it is not contiguous
- **Internal Fragmentation** – allocated memory may be slightly larger than requested memory; this size difference is memory internal to a partition, but not being used
- First fit analysis reveals that given N blocks allocated, $0.5 N$ blocks lost to fragmentation
 - $1/3$ may be unusable -> **50-percent rule**



Fragmentation (Cont.)

- Reduce external fragmentation by **compaction**
 - Shuffle memory contents to place all free memory together in one large block
 - Compaction is possible *only* if relocation is dynamic, and is done at execution time
 - I/O problem
 - Latch job in memory while it is involved in I/O
 - Do I/O only into OS buffers
- Now consider that backing store has same fragmentation problems



REFERENCES

TEXT BOOKS:

- T1 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne, “Operating System Concepts”, Ninth Edition, Wiley India Pvt Ltd, 2009.)
- T2. Andrew S. Tanenbaum, “Modern Operating Systems”, Fourth Edition, Pearson Education, 2010

REFERENCES:

- R1 Gary Nutt, “Operating Systems”, Third Edition, Pearson Education, 2004.
- R2 Harvey M. Deitel, “Operating Systems”, Third Edition, Pearson Education, 2004.
- R3 Abraham Silberschatz, Peter Baer Galvin and Greg Gagne, “Operating System Concepts”, 9th Edition, John Wiley and Sons Inc., 2012.
- R4. William Stallings, “Operating Systems – Internals and Design Principles”, 7th Edition, Prentice Hall, 2011

