

SNS COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY



Coimbatore-35. An Autonomous Institution

Accredited by NBA – AICTE and Accredited by NAAC – UGC with 'A+' Grade Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

COURSE NAME : 19CSB201 – OPERATING SYSTEMS

II YEAR/ IV SEMESTER

UNIT – III Storage Management

Topic: Virtual Memory: Demand Paging

Mr.N.Selvakumar

Assistant Professor


Department of Computer Science and Engineering



Demand Paging



- Could bring entire process into memory at load time
- Or bring a page into memory only when it is needed
 - Less I/O needed, no unnecessary I/O
 - Less memory needed
 - Faster response
 - More users
- Similar to paging system with swapping (diagram on right)
- Page is needed ⇒ reference to it
 - invalid reference ⇒ abort
 - not-in-memory ⇒ bring to memory
- Lazy swapper never swaps a page into memory unless page will be needed
 - Swapper that deals with pages is a pager





Basic Concepts




- With swapping, pager guesses which pages will be used before swapping out again
- Instead, pager brings in only those pages into memory
- How to determine that set of pages?
 - Need new MMU functionality to implement demand paging
- If pages needed are already memory resident
 - No difference from non demand-paging
- If page needed and not memory resident
 - Need to detect and load the page into memory from storage
 - Without changing program behavior
 - Without programmer needing to change code



Valid-Invalid Bit



- With each page table entry a valid—invalid bit is associated $(\mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \text{in-memory} - \text{memory} \text{resident}, \mathbf{i} \Rightarrow \text{not-in-}$ memory)
- Initially valid—invalid bit is set to i on all entries
- Example of a page table snapshot:




page table

 During MMU address translation, if valid—invalid bit in page table entry is $i \Rightarrow$ page fault









19CSB201 – Operating Systems/ Unit-III/ Storage Management/ Virtual Memory: Demand Paging/ Mrs.M.Lavanya/AP/CSE/SNSCT



Page Fault



• If there is a reference to a page, first reference to that page will trap to operating system:


page fault

- 1. Operating system looks at another table to decide:
 - Invalid reference \Rightarrow abort
 - Just not in memory
- 2. Find free frame
- 3. Swap page into frame via scheduled disk operation
- 4.Reset tables to indicate page now in memory Set validation bit = v
- 5. Restart the instruction that caused the page fault



Steps in Handling a Page Fault







Aspects of Demand Paging




- Extreme case start process with no pages in memory
 - OS sets instruction pointer to first instruction of process, non-memory-resident -> page fault
 - And for every other process pages on first access
 - Pure demand paging
- Actually, a given instruction could access multiple pages -> multiple page faults
 - Consider fetch and decode of instruction which adds 2 numbers from memory and stores result back to memory
 - Pain decreased because of locality of reference
- Hardware support needed for demand paging
 - Page table with valid / invalid bit
 - Secondary memory (swap device with swap space)
 - Instruction restart



Instruction Restart



- Consider an instruction that could access several different locations
 - block move



- auto increment/decrement location
- Restart the whole operation?
 - What if source and destination overlap?



Performance of Demand Paging



Stages in Demand Paging (worse case)

- 1. Trap to the operating system
- 2. Save the user registers and process state
- 3. Determine that the interrupt was a page fault
- 4. Check that the page reference was legal and determine the location of the page on the disk
- 5. Issue a read from the disk to a free frame:
 - 1. Wait in a queue for this device until the read request is serviced
 - 2. Wait for the device seek and/or latency time
 - 3. Begin the transfer of the page to a free frame
- 6. While waiting, allocate the CPU to some other user
- 7. Receive an interrupt from the disk I/O subsystem (I/O completed)
- 8. Save the registers and process state for the other user
- 9. Determine that the interrupt was from the disk
- 10. Correct the page table and other tables to show page is now in memory
- 11. Wait for the CPU to be allocated to this process again
- 12. Restore the user registers, process state, and new page table, and then resume the interrupted instruction



Performance of Demand Paging (Cont.)



- Three major activities
 - Service the interrupt careful coding means just several hundred instructions needed
 - Read the page lots of time
 - Restart the process again just a small amount of time
- Page Fault Rate $0 \le p \le 1$
 - if p = 0 no page faults
 - if p = 1, every reference is a fault
- Effective Access Time (EAT)

```
EAT = (1 - p) x memory access
+ p (page fault overhead
+ swap page out
+ swap page in )
```



Demand Paging Example



- Memory access time = 200 nanoseconds
- Average page-fault service time = 8 milliseconds
- EAT = $(1 p) \times 200 + p$ (8 milliseconds) = $(1 - p \times 200 + p \times 8,000,000$ = $200 + p \times 7,999,800$
- If one access out of 1,000 causes a page fault, then EAT = 8.2 microseconds.

This is a slowdown by a factor of 40!!

- If want performance degradation < 10 percent
 - 220 > 200 + 7,999,800 x p 20 > 7,999,800 x p
 - p < .0000025
 - < one page fault in every 400,000 memory accesses



Demand Paging Optimizations



- Swap space I/O faster than file system I/O even if on the same device
 - Swap allocated in larger chunks, less management needed than file system
- Copy entire process image to swap space at process load time
 - Then page in and out of swap space
 - Used in older BSD Unix
- Demand page in from program binary on disk, but discard rather than paging out when freeing frame
 - Used in Solaris and current BSD
 - Still need to write to swap space
 - Pages not associated with a file (like stack and heap) anonymous memory
 - Pages modified in memory but not yet written back to the file system
- Mobile systems
 - Typically don't support swapping
 - Instead, demand page from file system and reclaim read-only pages (such as code)



Copy-on-Write




- Copy-on-Write (COW) allows both parent and child processes to initially share the same pages in memory
 - If either process modifies a shared page, only then is the page copied
- COW allows more efficient process creation as only modified pages are copied
- In general, free pages are allocated from a **pool** of **zero-fill-on-demand** pages
 - Pool should always have free frames for fast demand page execution
 - Don't want to have to free a frame as well as other processing on page fault
 - Why zero-out a page before allocating it?
- vfork() variation on fork() system call has parent suspend and child using copy-on-write address space of parent
 - Designed to have child call exec ()
 - Very efficient



Before Process 1 Modifies Page C








After Process 1 Modifies Page C







What Happens if There is no Free Frame?



- Used up by process pages
- Also in demand from the kernel, I/O buffers, etc
- How much to allocate to each?
- Page replacement find some page in memory, but not really in use, page it out
 - Algorithm terminate? swap out? replace the page?
 - Performance want an algorithm which will result in minimum number of page faults
- Same page may be brought into memory several times



REFERENCES



TEXT BOOKS:

- T1 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne, "Operating System Concepts", Ninth Edition, Wiley India Pvt Ltd, 2009.)
- T2. Andrew S. Tanenbaum, "Modern Operating Systems", Fourth Edition, Pearson Education, 2010

REFERENCES:

- R1 Gary Nutt, "Operating Systems", Third Edition, Pearson Education, 2004.
- R2 Harvey M. Deitel, "Operating Systems", Third Edition, Pearson Education, 2004.
- R3 Abraham Silberschatz, Peter Baer Galvin and Greg Gagne, "Operating System Concepts", 9th Edition, John Wiley and Sons Inc., 2012.
- R4. William Stallings, "Operating Systems Internals and Design Principles", 7th Edition, Prentice Hall, 2011





