



# **SNS COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY**



**Coimbatore-35.**

**An Autonomous Institution**

**Accredited by NBA – AICTE and Accredited by NAAC – UGC with ‘A+’ Grade  
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai**

**COURSE NAME : 19CSB201 – OPERATING SYSTEMS**

**II YEAR/ IV SEMESTER**

**UNIT – I OVERVIEW AND PROCESS MANAGEMENT**

**Topic: Threads : Multi-threading Models**

Mrs.N.Selvakumar

Assistant Professor

Department of Computer Science and Engineering



# Threading Issues

- Semantics of **fork()** and **exec()** system calls
- Signal handling
  - Synchronous and asynchronous
- Thread cancellation of target thread
  - Asynchronous or deferred
- Thread-local storage
- Scheduler Activations



# Semantics of `fork()` and `exec()`

- Does **fork** () duplicate only the calling thread or all threads?
  - Some UNIXes have two versions of fork
- **exec** () usually works as normal – replace the running process including all threads



# Signal Handling

- n **Signals** are used in UNIX systems to notify a process that a particular event has occurred.
- n A **signal handler** is used to process signals
  1. Signal is generated by particular event
  2. Signal is delivered to a process
  3. Signal is handled by one of two signal handlers:
    1. default
    2. user-defined
- n Every signal has **default handler** that kernel runs when handling signal
  - | **User-defined signal handler** can override default
  - | For single-threaded, signal delivered to process



# Signal Handling (Cont.)

- n Where should a signal be delivered for multi-threaded?
  - l Deliver the signal to the thread to which the signal applies
  - l Deliver the signal to every thread in the process
  - l Deliver the signal to certain threads in the process
  - l Assign a specific thread to receive all signals for the process



# Thread Cancellation

- Terminating a thread before it has finished
- Thread to be canceled is **target thread**
- Two general approaches:
  - **Asynchronous cancellation** terminates the target thread immediately
  - **Deferred cancellation** allows the target thread to periodically check if it should be cancelled
- Pthread code to create and cancel a thread:

```
pthread_t tid;

/* create the thread */
pthread_create(&tid, 0, worker, NULL);

. . .

/* cancel the thread */
pthread_cancel(tid);
```



# Thread Cancellation (Cont.)

- Invoking thread cancellation requests cancellation, but actual cancellation depends on thread state

Mode	State	Type
Off	Disabled	–
Deferred	Enabled	Deferred
Asynchronous	Enabled	Asynchronous

- If thread has cancellation disabled, cancellation remains pending until thread enables it
- Default type is deferred
  - Cancellation only occurs when thread reaches **cancellation point**
    - I.e. `pthread_testcancel()`
    - Then **cleanup handler** is invoked
- On Linux systems, thread cancellation is handled through signals



# Thread-Local Storage


- **Thread-local storage (TLS)** allows each thread to have its own copy of data
- Useful when you do not have control over the thread creation process (i.e., when using a thread pool)
- Different from local variables
  - Local variables visible only during single function invocation
  - TLS visible across function invocations
- Similar to **static** data
  - TLS is unique to each thread





# Scheduler Activations

- Both M:M and Two-level models require communication to maintain the appropriate number of kernel threads allocated to the application
- Typically use an intermediate data structure between user and kernel threads – **lightweight process (LWP)**
  - Appears to be a virtual processor on which process can schedule user thread to run
  - Each LWP attached to kernel thread
  - How many LWPs to create?
- Scheduler activations provide **upcalls** - a communication mechanism from the kernel to the **upcall handler** in the thread library
- This communication allows an application to maintain the correct number kernel threads





# REFERENCES

## TEXT BOOKS:

- T1 Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne, “Operating System Concepts”, Ninth Edition, Wiley India Pvt Ltd, 2009.)
- T2. Andrew S. Tanenbaum, “Modern Operating Systems”, Fourth Edition, Pearson Education, 2010

## REFERENCES:

- R1 Gary Nutt, “Operating Systems”, Third Edition, Pearson Education, 2004.
- R2 Harvey M. Deitel, “Operating Systems”, Third Edition, Pearson Education, 2004.
- R3 Abraham Silberschatz, Peter Baer Galvin and Greg Gagne, “Operating System Concepts”, 9th Edition, John Wiley and Sons Inc., 2012.
- R4. William Stallings, “Operating Systems – Internals and Design Principles”, 7th Edition, Prentice Hall, 2011

