SNS COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY

Coimbatore-35
An Autonomous Institution

Accredited by NBA – AICTE and Accredited by NAAC – UGC with 'A++' Grade
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

19ECT301- COMMUNICATION NETWORKS

III YEAR/ V SEMESTER

UNIT 2 –DATA-LINK LAYER & NETWORK LAYER

TOPIC 3– DATA LINK LAYER PROTOCOLS

Link layer

our goals:

❖ understand principles behind link layer services:

- error detection, correction
- sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
- link layer addressing
- local area networks: Ethernet, VLANs

❖ instantiation, implementation of various link layer technologies

Link layer, LANs: outline

❖ **introduction, services**

❖ error detection, correction

❖ multiple access protocols

❖ LANs

  ▪ addressing, ARP

  ▪ Ethernet

  ▪ switches

  ▪ VLANS

Link layer: Introduction

terminology:

❖ hosts and routers: nodes

❖ communication channels that connect adjacent nodes along communication path: links
- wired links
- wireless links
- LANs

❖ layer-2 packet: frame, encapsulates datagram

global ISP

data-link layer has responsibility of transferring datagram from one node to *physically adjacent* node over a link

Link layer: context

datagram transferred by different link protocols over different links:

- e.g., Ethernet on first link, frame relay on intermediate links, 802.11 on last link

Each link protocol provides different services

- e.g., may or may not provide rdt over link

transportation analogy:

- trip from Princeton to Lausanne
  - limo: Princeton to JFK
  - plane: JFK to Geneva
  - train: Geneva to Lausanne
- tourist = datagram
- transport segment = communication link
- transportation mode = link layer protocol
- travel agent = routing algorithm

Link layer services

❖ *framing, link access:*
  ▪ encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
  ▪ channel access if shared medium
  ▪ "MAC" addresses used in frame headers to identify source, dest
    • different from IP address!

❖ *reliable delivery between adjacent nodes*
  ▪ we learned how to do this already (chapter 3)!
  ▪ seldom used on low bit-error link (fiber, some twisted pair)
  ▪ wireless links: high error rates
    • *Q:* why both link-level and end-end reliability?

    A: correcting errors locally, rather than end-end

Link layer services (contd.)

- ❖ *flow control:*
  - ▪ pacing between adjacent sending and receiving nodes
- ❖ *error detection:*
  - ▪ errors caused by signal attenuation, noise.
  - ▪ receiver detects presence of errors:
    - • signals sender for retransmission or drops frame
- ❖ *error correction:*
  - ▪ receiver identifies *and corrects* bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission
- ❖ *half-duplex and full-duplex*
  - ▪ with half duplex, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at same time

Where is the link layer implemented?

❖ in each and every host
❖ link layer implemented in "adaptor" (aka *network interface card* NIC) or on a chip
  ▪ Ethernet card, 802.11 card; Ethernet chipset
  ▪ implements link, physical layer
❖ attaches into host's system buses
❖ combination of hardware, software, firmware

application
transport
network
link

cpu

memory

controller

link
physical

physical
transmission

host bus (e.g., PCI)

network adapter card

Adaptors communicating

datagram

controller

controller

datagram

sending host

receiving host

datagram

frame

❖ sending side:
  - encapsulates datagram in frame
  - adds error checking bits, rdt, flow control, etc.

❖ receiving side
  - looks for errors, rdt, flow control, etc
  - extracts datagram, passes to upper layer at receiving side

Link layer, LANs: outline

❖ introduction, services

❖ error detection, correction

❖ multiple access protocols

❖ LANs
  - addressing, ARP
 - Ethernet
  - switches
  - VLANS

Error detection

EDC= Error Detection and Correction bits (redundancy)
D   = Data protected by error checking, may include header fields

- Error detection not 100% reliable!
    - protocol may miss some errors, but rarely
   - larger EDC field yields better detection and correction

Parity checking

single bit parity:
- *d*etect single bit errors

two-dimensional bit parity:
- detect and correct single bit errors

$$
\begin{array}{cccc|c}
 & & & & \text{row parity} \\
\mathbf{d}_{1,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{d}_{1,j} & \mathbf{d}_{1,j+1} \\
\mathbf{d}_{2,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{d}_{2,j} & \mathbf{d}_{2,j+1} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\mathbf{d}_{i,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{d}_{i,j} & \mathbf{d}_{i,j+1} \\
\hline
\mathbf{d}_{i+1,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{d}_{i+1,j} & \mathbf{d}_{i+1,j+1}
\end{array}
$$

column parity

```
10101|1
11110|0
01110|1
-------
10101|0
0
no errors
```

```
10101|1
101100   parity error
01110|1
-------
10101|0
0
parity error
```

correctable single bit error

Internet checksum (review)

goal: detect "errors" (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted packet (note: used at transport layer *only*)

sender:
- ❖ treat segment contents as sequence of 16-bit integers
- ❖ checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- ❖ sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

receiver:
- ❖ compute checksum of received segment
- ❖ check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
  - ▪ NO - error detected
  - ▪ YES - no error detected. *But maybe errors nonetheless?*

Cyclic redundancy check

❖ more powerful error-detection coding

❖ view data bits, D, as a binary number

❖ choose r+1 bit pattern (generator), G

❖ goal: choose r CRC bits, R, such that
  ▪ <D,R> exactly divisible by G (modulo 2)
  ▪ receiver knows G, divides <D,R> by G. If non-zero remainder: error detected!
  ▪ can detect all burst errors less than r+1 bits

❖ widely used in practice (Ethernet, 802.11 WiFi, ATM)

←————— d bits —————→ ←— r bits —→

| D: data bits to be sent | R: CRC bits |

bit pattern

$$D * 2^r \quad XOR \quad R$$

mathematical formula

CRC example

want:

$D \cdot 2^r$ XOR R = nG

*equivalently:*

$D \cdot 2^r$ = nG XOR R

equivalently:

if we divide $D \cdot 2^r$ by G, want remainder R to satisfy:

$$R = remainder[\frac{D \cdot 2^r}{G}]$$

```
                        101011
          1001 ) 101110000
              1001
               101
               000
               1010
               1001
                110
                000
                1100
                1001
                 1010
                 1001
                  011
```

G ← → D

R ←

*Modulo-2 arithmetic without **carries** in addition or **borrows** in subtraction*

Link layer, LANs: outline

❖ introduction, services

❖ error detection, correction

❖ multiple access protocols

❖ LANs
  - addressing, ARP
  - Ethernet
  - switches
  - VLANS

Multiple access links, protocols

two types of "links":

❖ **point-to-point**
  - ▪ PPP for dial-up access
  - ▪ point-to-point link between Ethernet switch, host

❖ *broadcast (shared wire or medium)*
  - ▪ old-fashioned Ethernet
  - ▪ upstream HFC
  - ▪ 802.11 wireless LAN

shared wire (e.g., cabled Ethernet)

shared RF (e.g., 802.11 WiFi)

shared RF (satellite)

humans at a cocktail party (shared air, acoustical)

Multiple access protocols

- ❖ single shared broadcast channel
- ❖ two or more simultaneous transmissions by nodes: interference
  - ▪ *collision* if node receives two or more signals at the same time

multiple access protocol

- ❖ distributed algorithm that determines how nodes share channel, i.e., determine when node can transmit
- ❖ communication about channel sharing must use channel itself!
  - ▪ no out-of-band channel for coordination

An ideal multiple access protocol

Given: broadcast channel of rate R bps

Desired data:

1. when one node wants to transmit, it can send at rate R.
2. when M nodes want to transmit, each can send at average rate R/M
3. fully decentralized:
   - no special node to coordinate transmissions
   - no synchronization of clocks, slots
4. Simple

MAC: Media Access Control

MAC protocols: taxonomy

three broad classes:

❖ *channel partitioning*
  - divide channel into smaller "pieces" (time slots, frequency, code)
  - allocate piece to node for exclusive use

❖ *random access*
  - channel not divided, allow collisions
 - "recover" from collisions

❖ *"taking turns"*
  - nodes take turns, but nodes with more to send can take longer turns

Channel partitioning MAC protocols: TDMA

TDMA: time division multiple access

❖ access to channel in "rounds"

❖ each station gets fixed length slot (length = pkt trans time) in each round

❖ unused slots go idle

❖ example: 6-station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, slots 2,5,6 idle

Channel partitioning MAC protocols: FDMA

FDMA: frequency division multiple access

- ❖ channel spectrum divided into frequency bands
- ❖ each station assigned fixed frequency band
- ❖ unused transmission time in frequency bands go idle
- ❖ example: 6-station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, frequency bands 2,5,6 idle

FDM cable

frequency bands

time

Random access protocols

❖ when node has packet to send
  ▪ transmit at full channel data rate R.
  ▪ no *a priori* coordination among nodes
❖ two or more transmitting nodes ➜ "collision",
❖ random access MAC protocol specifies:
  ▪ how to detect collisions
  ▪ how to recover from collisions (e.g., via delayed retransmissions)
❖ examples of random access MAC protocols:
  ▪ slotted ALOHA
  ▪ ALOHA
  ▪ CSMA, CSMA/CD, CSMA/CA

Slotted ALOHA

assumptions:

❖ all frames same size

❖ time divided into equal size slots (time to transmit 1 frame)

❖ nodes start to transmit only slot beginning

❖ nodes are synchronized

❖ if 2 or more nodes transmit in slot, all nodes detect collision

operation:

❖ when node obtains fresh frame, transmits in next slot

   ▪ *if no collision:* node can send new frame in next slot

   ▪ *if collision:* node retransmits frame in each subsequent slot with prob. p until success

Slotted ALOHA

node 1	**1**		**1**			**1**		**1**	
node 2	**2**		**2**	**2**					
node 3	**3**					**3**		**3**	

C   E   C   S   E   C   E   S   S

Pros:

❖ single active node can continuously transmit at full rate of channel

❖ highly decentralized: only slots in nodes need to be in sync

❖ simple

Cons:

❖ collisions, wasting slots

❖ idle slots

❖ nodes may be able to detect collision in less than time to transmit packet

❖ clock synchronization

Slotted ALOHA: efficiency

efficiency: long-run fraction of successful slots (many nodes, all with many frames to send)

* *suppose: N nodes with many frames to send, each transmits in slot with probability p*
* prob that given node has success in a slot $= p(1-p)^{N-1}$
* prob that *any* node has a success $= Np(1-p)^{N-1}$

* max efficiency: find p* that maximizes $Np(1-p)^{N-1}$
* for many nodes, take limit of $Np^*(1-p^*)^{N-1}$ as N goes to infinity, gives:

max efficiency = 1/e = .37

at best: channel used for useful transmissions 37% of time!

!

Pure (unslotted) ALOHA

- ❖ unslotted Aloha: simpler, no synchronization
- ❖ when frame first arrives
  - ▪ transmit immediately
- ❖ collision probability increases:
  - ▪ frame sent at t_0 collides with other frames sent in $[t_0-1, t_0+1]$

will overlap with start of i's frame

will overlap with end of i's frame

node i frame

t_0-1 t_0 t_0+1

Pure ALOHA efficiency

P(success by given node) = P(node transmits) ·

$\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad$ P(no other node transmits in $[t_0-1,t_0]$ ·

$\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad$ P(no other node transmits in $[t_0-1,t_0]$

$$= p \cdot (1-p)^{N-1} \cdot (1-p)^{N-1}$$
$$= p \cdot (1-p)^{2(N-1)}$$

… choosing optimum p and then letting n $\longrightarrow \infty$

$$= 1/(2e) = .18$$

even *worse* than slotted Aloha!

CSMA (carrier sense multiple access)

CSMA: listen before transmit:

if channel sensed idle: transmit entire frame

❖ if channel sensed busy, defer transmission

❖ human analogy: don't interrupt others!

CSMA collisions

spatial layout of nodes

❖ collisions *can* still occur: propagation delay means two nodes may not hear each other's transmission

❖ collision: entire packet transmission time wasted
- distance & propagation delay play role in in determining collision probability

CSMA/CD (collision detection)

CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA

- collisions *detected* within short time
- colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel wastage

❖ collision detection:

- easy in wired LANs: measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
- difficult in wireless LANs: received signal strength overwhelmed by local transmission strength

❖ human analogy: the polite conversationalist

CSMA/CD (collision detection)

spatial layout of nodes

collision
detect/abort
time

Ethernet CSMA/CD algorithm

1. NIC receives datagram from network layer, creates frame

2. If NIC senses channel idle, starts frame transmission. If NIC senses channel busy, waits until channel idle, then transmits.

3. If NIC transmits entire frame without detecting another transmission, NIC is done with frame !

4. If NIC detects another transmission while transmitting, aborts and sends jam signal

5. After aborting, NIC enters *binary (exponential) backoff*:
   - after m^{th} collision, NIC chooses K at random from $\{0,1,2, \ldots, 2^m-1\}$. NIC waits $K \cdot 512$ bit times, returns to Step 2
   - longer backoff interval with more collisions

CSMA/CD efficiency

❖ T_{prop} = max prop delay between 2 nodes in LAN

❖ t_{trans} = time to transmit max-size frame

$$efficiency = \frac{1}{1 + 5t_{prop}/t_{trans}}$$

❖ efficiency goes to 1
  - as t_{prop} goes to 0
  - as t_{trans} goes to infinity

❖ better performance than ALOHA: and simple, cheap, decentralized!

"Taking turns" MAC protocols

channel partitioning MAC protocols:

- share channel *efficiently* and *fairly* at high load
- inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, 1/N bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!

random access MAC protocols

- efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- high load: collision overhead

"taking turns" protocols

look for best of both worlds!

"Taking turns" MAC protocols

polling:

❖ **master** node "**invites**" slave nodes to transmit in turn

❖ typically used with "dumb" slave devices

❖ concerns:
 - polling overhead
  - latency
  - single point of failure (master)

data

poll

master

data

slaves

"Taking turns" MAC protocols

token passing:

❖ control *token* passed from one node to next sequentially.

❖ token message

❖ concerns:

  ▪ token overhead

  ▪ latency

  ▪ single point of failure (token)

T

(nothing to send)

T

data

Cable access network

Internet frames, TV channels, control transmitted downstream at different frequencies

cable headend

CMTS

cable modem termination system

ISP

splitter   cable modem

upstream Internet frames, TV control, transmitted upstream at different frequencies in time slots

❖ *multiple* 40Mbps downstream (broadcast) channels
  ▪ single CMTS transmits into channels
❖ *multiple* 30 Mbps upstream channels
  ▪ *multiple access:* *all* users contend for certain upstream channel time slots (others assigned)

Cable access network

cable headend

MAP frame for Interval [t1, t2]

Downstream channel i

Upstream channel j

t_1 t_2

Residences with cable modems

Minislots containing minislots request frames

Assigned minislots containing cable modem upstream data frames

DOCSIS: data over cable service interface spec

❖ FDM over upstream, downstream frequency channels

❖ TDM upstream: some slots assigned, some have contention

- downstream MAP (bandwidth allocation map) frame: assigns upstream slots

- request for upstream slots (and data) transmitted random access (binary backoff) in selected slots

Summary of MAC protocols

❖ *channel partitioning,* by time, frequency or code
  ▪ Time Division, Frequency Division

❖ *random access* (dynamic),
  ▪ ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD
  ▪ carrier sensing: easy in some technologies (wire), hard in others (wireless)
  ▪ CSMA/CD used in Ethernet
  ▪ CSMA/CA used in 802.11 (Collision Avoidance)

❖ *taking turns*
  ▪ polling from central site, token passing
  ▪ bluetooth, FDDI, token ring

THANK YOU