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## Relational Query Languages

- Query languages: Allow manipulation and retrieval of data from a database.
- Relational model supports simple, powerful QLs:
- Strong formal foundation based on logic.
- Allows for much optimization.
- Query Languages != programming languages!
- QLs not intended to be used for complex calculations.
- QLs support easy, efficient access to large data sets.


## Formal Relational Query Languages

- Two mathematical Query Languages form the basis for "real" languages (e.g. SQL), and for implementation:
- Categories
- Procedural Language : The user instructs the system to perform a sequence of operations on the database to compute the desired result.
- Eg: Relational Algebra
- Non Procedural Language : The user describes the desired information without giving a specific procedure for obtaining that information.
- Eg: Relational Calculus


## Preliminaries

- A query is applied to relation instances, and the result of a query is also a relation instance.
- Schemas of input relations for a query are fixed (but query will run regardless of instance!)
- The schema for the result of a given query is also fixed! Determined by definition of query language constructs.


## Example Instances

R1

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

S1 | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S2

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

## Supplier-Part Example


Supplier

| Sno | Sname | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | Acme | NY |
| s2 | Ajax | Bos |
| s3 | Apex | Chi |
| s4 | Ace | LA |
| s5 | A-1 | Phil |

Part

| Pno | Pdesc | Colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p1 | screw | red |
| p2 | bolt | yellow |
| p3 | nut | green |
| p4 | washer | red |

Supplies

| Sno | Pno | O_date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | p1 | nov 3 |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 |
| s3 | p1 | nov 5 |
| s3 | p3 | nov 6 |
| s4 | p1 | nov 7 |
| s4 | p2 | nov 8 |
| s4 | p4 | nov 9 |

## Relational Algebra

- Basic operations:
- Selection ( $\sigma$ ) Selects a subset of rows from relation.
- Projection ( $\pi$ ) Deletes unwanted columns from relation.
- Cross-product $(\times)$ Allows us to combine two relations.
- Set-difference ( 一) Tuples in reln. 1, but not in reln. 2.
- Union ( U) Tuples in reln. 1 and in reln. 2.
- Rename ( $\rho$ ) Renaming the reln 1 to reln 2
- Additional operations:
- Intersection, join, division, Assignment Not essential, but (very!) useful.
- Extendend operations:
- Aggregate and outerjoin
- Since each operation returns a relation, operations can be composed! (Algebra is "closed".)

The _ operation, denoted by -, allows us to find tuples that are in one relation but are not in another.
a) Union
b) Set-difference
c) Difference
d) Intersection
b) Setdifference

## Projection

- Deletes attributes that are not in projection list.
- Schema of result contains exactly the fields in the projection list, with the same names that they had in the (only) input relation.

| sname | rating |
| :--- | :--- |
| yuppy | 9 |
| lubber | 8 |
| guppy | 5 |
| rusty | 10 |

- Projection operator has to eliminate $\pi$ duplicates!
sname, rating

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |


| age |
| :--- |
| 35.0 |
| 55.5 |

$\pi_{a g e}(S 2)$

Relational Algebra is a $\qquad$ query language that takes two relations as input and produces another relation as an output of the query.
a) Relational
b) Structural
c) Procedural
d) Fundamental

## Projection:

- Projection returns a subset of the columns of a single table.
- Syntax


## IT <list of columns> (table_name)

Find all supplier names. Project Supplier over Sname

## II Sname (Supplier)

Supplier

| Sno | Sname | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | Acme | NY |
| s2 | Ajax | Bos |
| s3 | Apex | Chi |
| s4 | Ace | LA |
| s5 | A-1 | Phil |

Answer

| Sname |
| :---: |
| Acme |
| Ajax |
| Apex |
| Ace |
| A-1 |

## Projection Exercise:

## - Find the addresses of all Cardholders.

## $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathrm{b} \_ \text {addr }}$ (Cardholder)

- Observations:
- There is only one input table.

| Cardholder |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| borrower\# | b-name | b-address | b-status |
| 1234 | john | New Paltz | senior |
| 1345 | albert | Rosendale | senior |
| 1325 | jo-ann | New Paltz | junior |
| 2653 | mike | Modena | senior |
| 7635 | john | Kingston | junior |
| 9823 | diana | Tilson | senior |
| 5342 | susan | Walkill | senior |

- The schema of the answer table is the list of columns
- If there are many Cardholders living at the same address these are not duplicated in the answer table. is the same as the list of columns in the query
Cardholder



## Selection

- Selects rows that satisfy selection condition.
- Schema of result identical to schema of (only) input relation.
- Result relation can be the input for another relational algebra operation! (Operator composition.)

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |


| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

$\sigma$
rating $>8(S 2)$

| sname | rating |
| :--- | :--- |
| yuppy <br> rusty | 9 |
| 10 |  |

## $\pi_{\text {sname,rating }}\left(\sigma_{\text {rating }}>_{8}(S 2)\right)$

## Selection:

- Selection returns a subset of the rows of a single table.
- Syntax:


## $\sigma_{\text {<condition> }}$ (table_name)

Find all suppliers from Boston.
© Location = 'Bos' (Supplier)

Supplier

| Sno | Sname | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | Acme | NY |
| s2 | Ajax | Bos |
| s3 | Apex | Chi |
| s4 | Ace | LA |
| s5 | A-1 | Phil |


| Answer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sno | Sname | Location |
| s2 | Ajax | Bos |

## Selection Exercise:

## - Find the Cardholders from Modena.

## $\sigma_{\text {b_addr }}=$ 'Modena' $($ Cardholder)

- Observations:
- There is only one input table.
- Both Cardholder and the answer table have the same schema (list of columns)
- Every row in the answer has the value 'Modena' in the b_addr column.

Cardholder

| borrower\# | b-name | b-address | b-status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1234 | john | New Paltz | senior |
| 1345 | albert | Rosendale | senior |
| 1325 | jo-ann | New Paltz | junior |
| 2653 | mike | Modena | senior |
| 7635 | john | Kingston | junior |
| 9823 | diana | Tilson | senior |
| 5342 | susan | Walkill | senior |

## Selection:

same schema

Cardholder

| borrower\# | b-name | b-address | b-status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1234 | john | New Paltz | senior |
| 1345 | albert | Rosendale | senior |
| 1325 | jo-ann | New Paltz | junior |
| 2653 | mike | Modena | senior |
| 7635 | john | Kingston | junior |
| 9823 | diana | Tilson | senior |
| 5342 | susan | Walkill | senior |

Answer

| borrower\# | b-name | b-address | b-status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2653 | mike | Modena | senior |

All rows in the answer have the value 'Modena' in the b_addr column

## Union, Intersection, Set-Difference

- All of these operations take two input relations, which must be union-compatible:
- Same number of fields.
- `Corresponding’ fields have the same type.

Union, Intersection, Set-Difference $S 1-S 2$

| $s 1$ | $\underline{\underline{\text { sid }}}$ | sname | rating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | age |  |  |
| 31 | lustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 8 | 55.5 |

S2

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |


| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |

## $S 1 \cup S 2$

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |

$S 1 \cap S 2$

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

- Treat two tables as sets and perform a set union
- Syntax:


## Table1 <br> Table2

- Observations:
- This operation is impossible unless both tables
 involved have the same schemas. Why?
- Because rows from both tables must fit into a single answer table; hence they must "look alike".
- Because some rows might already belong to both tables


## Union Example:

## Part1Suppliers $=\pi_{\text {Sno }}\left(\sigma_{\text {Pno }=\text { ' } \mathfrak{p 1} \text { ' }}\right.$ (Supplies) Part2Suppliers $=\pi_{\text {Sno }}\left(\sigma_{\text {Pno }=~ ' ~}^{\mathrm{p} 2^{\prime}}\right.$ (Supplies)

Answer = Part1Suppliers $\cup$ Part2Suppliers
Part1Suppliers

Part1Suppliers

| Sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |
| s3 |
| s4 |

Part2Suppliers

Supplies

| Sno | Pno | O_date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | p1 | nov 3 |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 |
| s3 | p1 | nov 5 |
| s3 | p3 | nov 6 |
| s4 | p1 | nov 7 |
| s4 | p2 | nov 8 |
| s4 | p4 | nov 9 |
|  |  |  | www.snsgroups.com

## Union Exercise:

Find the borrower numbers of all borrowers who have either borrowed or reserved a book (any book).

```
Reservers = m
Borrowers = m}\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{m}}{\mathrm{ borrowerid}}{(Borrows)
```


## Answer = BorrowersU Reservers

Borrowers
union
Reservers

| Borrowers | Reservers |
| :---: | :---: |
| borrowerid | borrowerid |
| 1234 | 1345 |
| 1325 | 1325 |
| 2653 | 9823 |
| 7635 | 2653 |
| 9823 | 7635 |
| 5342 |  |


| Reservers |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | borrowerid |
|  | 1234 |
| not duplicated | 1325 |
|  | 7653 |
| nase Principles | 9823 |
|  | 5342 |
|  | 1345 |

Which of the following is used to denote the selection operation in relational algebra?
a) Pi (Greek)
b) Sigma (Greek)
c) Lambda (Greek)
d) Omega (Greek)
b) Sigma (Greek)

For select operation the appear in the subscript and the $\qquad$ appears in the parenthesis after the sigma.
a) Predicates, relation
b) Relation, Predicates
c) Operation, Predicates
d) Relation, Operation

## Predicates, relation

## Intersection:

- Treat two tables as sets and perform a set intersection


## - Syntax:

## Table1 $\cap$ Table2

- Observations:

- This operation is impossible unless both tables involved have the same schemas. Why?
- Because rows from both tables must fit into a single answer table; hence they must "look alike".
Supplies

| Sno | Pno | O_date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | p1 | nov 3 |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 |
| s3 | p1 | nov 5 |
| s3 | p3 | nov 6 |
| s4 | p1 | nov 7 |
| s4 | p2 | nov 8 |
| s4 | p4 | nov 9 |
|  |  |  |

## Answer = Part1Suppliers $\cap$ Part2Suppliers

## Part1Suppliers

| Sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |
| s3 |
| s4 |

Part2Suppliers


Part1Suppliers intersect
Part2Suppliers

| Sno |
| :---: |
| s4 |

## Intersection Exercise:

## - Find the borrower numbers of all borrowers

 who have borrowed and reserved a book.Reservers $=\pi_{\text {borrowerid }}$ (Reserves) Borrowers $=\pi_{\text {borrowerid }}$ (Borrows)

## Answer = Borrowers $\cap$ Reservers

| borrowerid | borrowerid | Reservers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1234 | 1345 | borrowerid |
| 1325 | $\rightarrow 1325$ | 1325 |
| 2653 | $\rightarrow 9823$ | 2653 |
|  | $\longrightarrow 2653$ | 7635 |
| 5823 | $\rightarrow 7635$ | 9823 |

## Set Difference:

- Treat two tables as sets and perform a set intersection
- Syntax:


## Table1 - Table2

- Observations:
- This operation is impossible unless both tables involved have the same
 schemas. Why?
- Because it only makes sense to calculate the set difference if the two sets have elements in common.


## Set Difference Example:

Part1Suppliers $=\pi_{\text {Sno }}\left(\sigma_{\text {Pno = 'p1 }}(\right.$ Supplies $\left.)\right)$ Part2Suppliers $=\Pi_{S n o}\left(\sigma_{\text {Pno }=\text { ' }{ }^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}(\right.$ Supplies $\left.)\right)$
Supplies

| Sno | Pno | O_date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | p1 | nov 3 |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 |
| s3 | p1 | nov 5 |
| s3 | p3 | nov 6 |
| s4 | p1 | nov 7 |
| s4 | p2 | nov 8 |
| s4 | p4 | nov 9 |
|  |  |  |

## Answer = Part1Suppliers - Part2Suppliers

Part1Suppliers

| Sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |
| s3 |
| s4 |

Part2Suppliers

| Sno |
| :---: |
| s2 |
| s4 |


\section*{Part1Suppliers minus <br> Part2Suppliers <br> | Sno |
| :---: |
| s1 |
| s3 |}

## Set Difference Exercise:

- Find the borrower numbers of all borrowers who have borrowed something and reserved nothing.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Reservers }=\pi_{\text {borrowerid }} \text { (Reserves) } \\
& \text { Borrowers }=\pi_{\text {borrowerid }} \text { (Borrows) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Answer = Borrowers - Reservers

| Borrowers | Reservers |
| :---: | :---: |
| borrowerid | borrowerid |
| 1234 | $\rightarrow 1345$ |
| 1325 | 1325 |
| 2653 | $\rightarrow 9823$ |
| 7635 | $\rightarrow 2653$ |
| 9823 | $\longrightarrow 7635$ |
| 5342 |  |


| Borrowers <br> minus <br> Reservers |
| :---: |
| borrowerid |
| 1234 |
| 5342 |

The operation, denoted by -, allows us to find tuples that are in one relation but are not in another.
a. Union
b. Set-difference
c. Difference
d. Intersection


If E1 and E2 are relational algebra expressions, then which of the following is NOT a relational algebra expression?

| a. | E1 U E2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| b. | E1 / E2 |
| c. | E1 - E2 |
| d. | E1 x E2 |

b) E1 / E2

The operation of a relation $X$, produces Y , such that $Y$ contains only selected attributes of $X$. Such an operation is :

| a. | Projection |
| :--- | :--- |
| b. | Intersection |
| c. | Union |
| d. | Difference |

[^0]
## Relational algebra is :

a. Data Definition Language
b. Meta Language
c. Procedural query language
d. Non procedural language

## The result of the UNION operation between R1 and R2 is a relation that includes

| a. | all the tuples of R1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| b. | all the tuples of R2 |
| c. | all the tuples of R1 and R2 |
| d. | all the tuples of R1 and R2 which have common <br> columns |

## D) all the tuples of R1 and R2 which have common columns

## Cross-Product/Cartesian Product

- Each row of S1 is paired with each row of R1.
- Result schema has one field per field of S1 and R1, with field names `inherited' if possible.

Conflict: Both S1 and R1 have a field called sid.

$s 1$| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

R1 | sid | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

- Renaming operator: $\rho(C(1 \rightarrow \operatorname{sid} 1,5 \rightarrow$ sid 2$), S 1 \times R 1)$


## Cross-Product/Cartesian Product

- The Cartesian product of two sets is a set of pairs of elements (tuples), one from each set.
- If the original sets are already sets of tuples then the tuples in the Cartesian product are all that bigger.
- Syntax:


## <table_name> X <table_name>

- As we have seen, Cartesian products are usually unrelated to a real-world thing. They normally contain some noise tuples.
- However they may be useful as a first step.


## Cross-Product/Cartesian Product Example

5 rows
Supplier

| Sno | Sname | Location |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| s1 | Acme | NY |
| s2 | Ajax | Bos |
| s3 | Apex | Chi |
| s4 | Ace | LA |
| s5 | A-1 | Phil |

4 rows
Part

| Pno | Pdesc | Colour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p1 | screw | red |
| p2 | bolt | yellow |
| p3 | nut | green |
| p4 | washer | red |


| Supplier x Part |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sno | Sname | Location | Pno | Pdesc | Color |
| info: <br> 7 rows in total | s1 <br> s2 <br> s3 <br> s4 <br> s5 <br> s1 <br> s5 | Acme <br> Ajax <br> Apex <br> Ace <br> A-1 <br> Acme <br> A-1 | NY <br> Bos <br> Chi <br> LA <br> Phil <br> NY <br> Phil | p1 <br> p1 <br> p1 <br> p1 <br> p1 <br> p2 <br> p4 | screw <br> screw <br> screw <br> screw <br> screw <br> bolt <br> washer |  |

## Cross-Product/Cartesian Product Exercise:

Names = Project Cardholder over b_name Addresses = Project Cardholder over b_addr

Names x Addresses

| Names | Addresses |
| :--- | :--- |
| b_name | b_addr <br> john <br> albert <br> jo-ann <br> mike <br> diana <br> susan |
| New Paltz  <br>  Rosendale <br> Modena <br> Kingston <br> Tilson <br> Wallkill |  |



## Joins

- Condition Join:

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

$$
S 1 \nless S 1 . \text { sid }<\text { R1.sid } R 1
$$

- Result schema same as that of cross-product.

S1

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

R1

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

- Fewer tuples than cross-product.
- Filters tuples not satisfying the join condition.
- Sometimes called a theta-join.


## Joins

- Equi-Join: A special case of condition join where the condition c contains only equalities.

| sid | sname | rating | age | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

- Result schema similar to cross-product, but only one copy of fields for which equality is specified.
- Natural Join: Equijoin on all common fields.

S1

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

R1

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

$$
\pi_{\text {sid }, ., a g e, b i d, . .}\left(S 1 \nless{ }_{\text {sid }} R 1\right)
$$

## Joins

- The most useful and most common operation.
- Tables are "related" by having columns in common; primary key on one table appears as a "foreign" key in another.
- Join uses this relatedness to combine the two tables into one.
- Join is usually needed when a database query involves knowing something found in one table but wanting to know something found in a different table.
- Join is useful because both Select and Project work on only one table at a time.


## Join Example:

- Suppose we want to know the names of all parts ordered between Nov 4 and Nov 6.

- Step 1: Without the join operator we would start by combining the two tables using Cartesian Product.


## Part x Supplies

- The table, Supplies x Part, now contains both
- What we know (OrderDate) and
- What we want (PartDescription)
- The schema of Supplies $x$ Part is:

- We know, that a Cartesian Product contains some info rows but lots of noise too.


## Join Example:

- The Cartesian Product has noise rows we need to get rid of



## Join Example:

- Step 2: Let's get rid of all the noise rows from the Cartesian Product.
$A=$ select (Supplies $\times$ Part) where Supplies.PNo = Part.PNo
- The table, $A$, now contains both
- What we know (OrderDate) and
- What we want (PartDescription)
- And no noise rows!

Select (Supplies x Part) where Supplies.Pno = Part.Pno

| Sno | Pno | O_date | Pno | Pdesc | Colour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s1 | p1 | nov 3 | p1 | screw | red |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 | p2 | bolt | yellow |
| s3 | p1 | nov 5 | p1 | screw | red |
| s3 | p3 | nov 6 | p3 | nut | green |
| s4 | p1 | nov 7 | p1 | screw | red |
| s4 | p2 | nov 8 | p2 | bolt | yellow |
| s4 | p4 | nov 9 | p4 | washe | red |
|  |  |  |  | r |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Join Example:

- Step 3: We now have two identical columns
- Supplies.Pno and Part.Pno
- We can safely get rid of one of these
project(select (Supplies x Part) where Supplies.Pno = Part.Pno)

| over Sno, Supplies.Pno, O_date, Pdesc, Colour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Sno | Pno | O_date | Pdesc | Colour |
| s1 | p1 | nov 3 | screw | red |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 | bolt | yellow |
| s3 | p1 | nov 5 | screw | red |
| s3 | p3 | nov 6 | nut | green |
| s4 | p1 | nov 7 | screw | red |
| s4 | p2 | nov 8 | bolt | yellow |
| s4 | p4 | nov 9 | washer | red |

Database Principles

- Because the idea of:


## Join Example:

1. taking the Cartesian Product of two tables with a common column,
2. then select getting rid of the noise rows and finally
3. project getting rid of the duplicate column is so common we give it a name - JOIN.
```
Project( Select( Supplies x Part ) where Supplies.Pno = Part.Pno ) over
Sno, Supplies.Sno, O_date, Pdesc, Colour
```


## Join Example:

- SYNTAX:


## Supplies $\bowtie$ Part

Supplies $\bowtie$ Part =
project(select (Supplies $\times$ Part) where Supplies.Pno = Part.Pno)
over Sno, Supplies. Pno, O date. Pdesc, Colour

| Sno | Pno | O_date | Pdesc | Colour |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| s1 | p1 | nov 3 | screw | red |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 | bolt | yellow |
| s3 | p1 | nov5 | screw | red |
| s3 | p3 | nov6 | nut | green |
| s4 | p1 | nov 7 | screw | red |
| s4 | p2 | nov 8 | bolt | yellow |
| s4 | p4 | nov 9 | washer | red |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Join Example:

- Summary:
- Used when two tables are to be combined into one
- Most often, the two tables share a column
- The shared column is often a primary key in one of the tables
- Because it is a primary key in one table the shared column is called a foreign key in any other table that contains it
- JOIN is a combination of
- Cartesian Product (to combine 2 tables in 1)
-Select (rows with identical key values)
- Project (out one copy of duplicate column)


## Join Example: (Finishing Up):

- Let's finish up our query.
- Step 4: We know that the only rows that really interest us are those for Nov 4, 5 and 6.


## A = Supplies JOIN Part

B = select A where O_date between 'Nov 4' and 'Nov 6'

B

| Sno | Pno | O_date | Pdesc | Colour |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 | bolt | yellow |
| s3 | p1 | nov 5 | screw | red |
| s3 | p3 | nov 6 | nut | green |

## Join Example (Finishing Up):

- Step 5: What we wanted to know in the first place was the list of parts ordered on certain days.

B

| Sno | Pno | O_date | Pdesc | Colour |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s2 | p2 | nov 4 | bolt | yellow |
| s3 | p1 | nov 5 | screw | red |
| s3 | p3 | nov 6 | nut | green |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| we want the values <br> in this column |  |  |  |  |

## Answer = project B over Pdesc

screw
nut

## Join Summary：

－JOIN is the operation most often used to combine two tables into one．
－The kind of JOIN we performed where we compare two columns using the＝operator is called the natural equi－join．
－It is also possible to compare columns using other operators such as＜，＞，＜＝，！＝etc．Such joins are called theta－joins．
－These are expressed with a subscripted condition

```
凶 R.A 昭B
    where 渞 is any comparison operator except =
```


## Join Exercise:

- Find the author and title of boc
- What we know, purchase price, is
- What we want, author and title, ar
- Book and Copy share a primary k
info we want

Copy


WSIFTITIOIS ww.snsgroups.com

## Join Exercise:

- Step 1: JOIN Copy and Book

A = Copy JOIN Book

- Step 2: Find the copies that cost $\$ 12.00 \quad B=$ Select $A$ where $\mathbf{p} \_$price $=12.00$
- Step 3: Find the author and title of those books.

Answer = project B over author, title

Answer

| author | title |
| :---: | :---: |
| Brookes | MMM |
|  |  |

## Division

- Not supported as a primitive operator, but useful for expressing queries like:
- Find sailors who have reserved all boats.
- Precondition: in $A / B$, the attributes in $B$ must be included in the schema for A. Also, the result has attributes A-B.
- SALES(supld, prodld);
- PRODUCTS(prodld);
- Relations SALES and PRODUCTS must be built using projections.
- SALES/PRODUCTS: the ids of the suppliers supplying ALL products.


## Examples of Division A/B

| sno | pno |
| :--- | :--- |
| s1 | p1 |
| s1 | p2 |
| s1 | p3 |
| s1 | p4 |
| s2 | p1 |
| s2 | p2 |
| s3 | p2 |
| s4 | p2 |
| s4 | p4 |


| pno | pno <br> p2 <br> p1 <br> p4 |
| :--- | :--- |


| sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |
| s2 |
| s3 |
| s4 |


| pno |
| :--- |
| p1 |
| p2 |
| p4 |

B3


| sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |

A/B3

A
A/B1


[^0]:    a) Projection

