# MA6452 - STATISTICS AND NUMERICAL METHODS UNIT I 

## TEST OF HYPOTHESIS

## PART-A

1. Write the application of F-test and $\chi^{2}$ (chi-square test)

F-test
$>$ F-distribution is used to test the equality of the variances.
$\chi^{2}$ (Chi-square test)
$>\chi^{2}$ Is used to test the goodness of fit.
$>\chi^{2}$ Is used to test the independence of attributes.
2. Write two applications of $\chi^{2}$ test
$>\quad \chi^{2}$ Is used to test the goodness of fit.
$>\quad \chi^{2}$ Is used to test the independence of attributes.

## 3. Write the use of ${ }^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{t}$ ' distribution

The t-distribution is used to test the significance of the difference between
$>$ The mean of a small sample and the mean of the population.
$>$ The means of two small samples
$>$ The coefficient of correlation in the small sample and that in the population, assumed zero.

## 4. Define errors in sampling and critical region. Type I Error

Reject $H_{0}$ when it is true.

## Type II Error

Accept $H_{0}$ when it is false.

## 5. Define F- variate.

A random variate F is said to follow Snedecor's F-distribution or simply
F-distribution if its probability density function is given by

$$
f(F)=\frac{\left(\gamma_{1} / \gamma_{2}\right)^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}}}{\beta\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}, \frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{F^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}-1}}{\left(1+\frac{\gamma_{1} F}{\gamma_{2}}\right)^{\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}}{2}\right)}}, F>0
$$

6. A sample of size 13 gave an estimated population variance of 3.0 , while another sample of size 15 gave an estimate of 2.5.Could both samples be from populations with the same variance?

## Solution:

$$
\text { Given } n_{1}=13, n_{2}=15, s_{1}^{2}=3, s_{2}^{2}=2.5, \gamma_{1}=12,, \gamma_{2}=14
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{S}_{1}{ }^{2}=\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2}$ (i.e the two samples have been drawn from population with the same Variance)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mathrm{S}_{1}{ }^{2} \neq \mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~F}=\frac{S_{1}{ }^{2}}{S_{2}{ }^{2}}=\frac{3}{2.5}=1.2 \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{0.05}\left(\gamma_{1}=12, \gamma_{2}=14\right)=2.53 \text { and } \mathrm{F}<\mathrm{F}_{0.05}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted
(i.e) the two samples could have from two normal population with the same variance
7. A machine runs on an average of $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ hours/year. A random sample of $\mathbf{4 9}$ machines has an annual average use of $\mathbf{1 2 6 . 9}$ hours with standard deviation of 8.4 hours.Does this suggest to believe that machines are used on the average more than $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ hours annually at $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ level of significance?

## Solution:

Given $\mathrm{n}=49, \overline{\mathrm{x}}=126.9, \mu=165, \sigma=8.4$
Null Hypothesis: $H_{0}: \bar{x}=\mu$
Alternative Hypothesis: $H_{1}: \bar{x}>\mu$ (one- tailed test)
Test statistics is

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \\
& =\frac{126.9-125}{\frac{8.4}{\sqrt{49}}}=1.583
\end{aligned}
$$

Tabulated value $z_{\alpha}=1.645$

$$
|z|<z_{\alpha}
$$

Therefore, $H_{0}$ is accepted.
(i.e) the difference between $\bar{x}$ and $\mu$ is not significant.
8. For $2 \times 2$ contingency table, value. (DEC 2012)

| $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | Write down the corresponding $\chi^{2}$ |

## Solution:

The value of $\chi^{2}$ for the $2 \times 2$ contingency table is given by

$$
\chi^{2}=\frac{N(a d-b c)^{2}}{(a+b)(c+d)(a+c)(b+d)}
$$

9. Write down t-test significance single mean formula for given sample and population mean $\bar{x}$ and $\mu$ respectively.

## Solution:

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}}}
$$

10. Write down the formula of test statistic $t$ to test the significant difference between the means of large samples.

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}
$$

## PART-B

## Test of significance for large samples

Z-Test (Sample size is more than or equal to 30)

## Working Procedures

1. From the problem context, identify the parameter of interest
2. State the null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$
3. Specify an appropriate alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{1}$
4. Choose a significant level $\alpha$
5. Determine an appropriate test statistic
6. State the rejection region for the statistic
7. Compute any necessary sample quantities, substitute these into the equation for the test statistic, and compute the value.
8. Conclusion : Decide whether or not, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ should be rejected and report that in the problem context
Test for single mean ( $n \geq \mathbf{3 0}$ )
9. A sample of 900 members has a mean 3.4 cm and standard deviation 2.61 cm . Is the sample from a large population of mean 3.25 cm ? (Test at $5 \%$ level of significance. The value of $z$ at $5 \%$ level is $\left|z_{\alpha}\right|<1.96$ )

Solution: Given $\mathrm{n}=900, \mu=3.25, \mathrm{~s}=2.61, \bar{x}=3.4, \alpha=5 \%$

1. $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=3.25$
2. $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu \neq 3.25$ ( Two tail test )
3. $\alpha=5 \%$
4. Critical region :
5. The test statistic

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \\
& =\frac{3.4-3.25}{\frac{2.61}{\sqrt{900}}} \\
& =1.724
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Conclusion : Cal. Z 1.724 < Tab.Z 1.96

Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$
7. $95 \%$ confidence limits are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} \pm 1.96 \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} & =3.4 \pm(1.96)\left(\frac{2.61}{\sqrt{900}}\right) \\
& =3.4 \pm 0.1705 \\
& =3.57 \text { and } 3.2295
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The mean breaking strength of the cables supplied by a manufacturer is 1800 with a S.D. of 100. By a new technique in the manufacturing process, it is claimed that the breaking strength of the cable has increased In order to test this cl sample of 50 cables is tested and it is found that the mean breaking strength is 1850 . Can we claim at $1 \%$ level of significance?

Solution : Given $\mathrm{n}=50, \mu=1800, \mathrm{~s}=100, \bar{x}=1850, \alpha=1 \%$

1. $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=1800$
$2 \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu>1800$ (Use one-tailed test (right))
2. $\alpha=1 \%$
3. Critical region :
4. The test statistic

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \\
& =\frac{1850-1800}{\frac{100}{\sqrt{50}}} \\
& =3.54
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. Z $3.54<$ Tab.Z 2.33

Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$
We may support the claim of increase in breaking strength
3. An insurance agent has claimed that the average age of policy holders who insure through him is less that the average for all agents which is $\mathbf{3 0 . 5}$ years. A random sample of 100 policy holders who had insured through him reveal that the mean and s.d are 28.8 years and 6.35 years respectively. Test his claim at $\mathbf{5 \%}$ level of significance.

Solution : Given $\mathrm{n}=100, \mu=30.5, \mathrm{~s}=6.35, \bar{x}=28.8, \alpha=5 \%$
$1 \quad \mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=30.5$
$2 \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu<30.5$ ( Use one-tailed test (left) )
$3 \quad \alpha=5 \%$
4 Critical region :
5 The test statistic

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \\
& =\frac{28.8-30.5}{\frac{6.35}{\sqrt{100}}} \\
& =-2.68
\end{aligned}
$$

6 Conclusion : Cal. $|Z| 2.68$ > Tab.Z 1.645
Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$
Test for Difference of two means $(n \geq 30)$
4. Examine whether the difference in the variability in yields is significant at $5 \%$ level of significance for the following:

|  | Set of 40 plots | Set of 60 plots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean yield per plot | $\mathbf{1 2 5 8}$ | 1243 |
| S.D per plot | 34 | 28 |

Solution: Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=40, \bar{x}_{1}=1258, s_{1}=34 \\
& n_{2}=60, \bar{x}_{2}=1243, s_{2}=28
\end{aligned}
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$
3. $\alpha=5 \%$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
Z=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}=\frac{1258-1243}{\sqrt{\frac{1156}{40}+\frac{784}{60}}}=2.32
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|Z|=2.32>$ Tab. $Z 1.96$

Reject $H_{0}$.
5. A simple sample of heights of 6400 Englishmen has a mean of 170 cm and a standard deviation of 6.4 cm , while a simple sample of heights of 1600 Australians has a mean of 172 cm and a standard deviation of 6.3 cm . Do the data indicate that Australians are on the average taller than Englishmen?

Solution: Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=6400, \bar{x}_{1}=170, s_{1}=6.4 \\
& n_{2}=1600, \bar{x}_{2}=172, s_{2}=6.3
\end{aligned}
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}($ One tailed test(Left) $)$
3. $\alpha=5 \%$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
Z=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}=\frac{170-172}{\sqrt{\frac{(6.2)^{2}}{6400}+\frac{(6.3)^{2}}{1600}}}=-11.32
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|Z|=11.32>$ Tab. $Z 1.645$

Reject $H_{0}$.
7. A sample of 100 bulbs of brand $A$ gave a mean lifetime of $\mathbf{1 2 0 0}$ hours with a standard deviation of $\mathbf{7 0}$ hours, while another sample of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ bulbs of brand $B$ gave a mean lifetime of 1150 hours with a standard deviation of 85 hours. Can we conclude that brand $A$ bulbs are superior to brand $B$ bulbs?

Solution: Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=100, \bar{x}_{1}=1200, s_{1}=70 \\
& n_{2}=120, \bar{x}_{2}=1150, s_{2}=85
\end{aligned}
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \mu_{1}>\mu_{2}($ One tailed test(Right) $)$
3. $\alpha=5 \%$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
Z=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}=\frac{1200-1150}{\sqrt{\frac{(70)^{2}}{100}+\frac{(85)^{2}}{120}}}=4.79
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|Z|=4.79>$ Tab. $Z 1.645$

Reject $H_{0}$.

## Test for single mean $(\boldsymbol{n}<\mathbf{3 0})$

$\underline{t}$ - test
7. A sample of ten house owners is drawn and the following values of their incomes are obtained, mean Rs. 6000 and S.D Rs. 650, test the hypothesis that the average income of house owners of the town is Rs. 5500.

## Solution:

$$
n=10, \bar{x}=6000, \mu=5500
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu=5500$
2. $H_{1}: \mu \neq 5500$ (Two tailed test)
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d.f $=n-1=10-1=9$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
t=\frac{x-\mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}}}=\frac{6000-5500}{\frac{650}{\sqrt{10-1}}}=2.31
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|t|=2.31>$ Tab. $|t| 2.262$
7. A certain injection administered to each of 12 patients resulted in the following increases of blood pressure:

$$
5,2,8,-1,3,0,6,-2,1,5,0,4
$$

Can it be concluded that the injection will be, in general, accompanied by an increase in BP?

Solution: Given

$$
n=12
$$

| $x$ | 5 | 2 | 8 | -1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | -2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x^{2}$ | 25 | 4 | 64 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 16 | 185 |

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x}{n}=\frac{31}{12}=2.58, s^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x^{2}}{n}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x}{n}\right)^{2}=\frac{185}{12}-\left(\frac{31}{12}\right)^{2}=8.761 s=2.96
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu=0$
2. $H_{1}: \mu>0$ (one tailed test(Right))
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d.f $=n-1=10-1=9$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
t=\frac{x-\mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}}}=\frac{6000-5500}{\frac{650}{\sqrt{10-1}}}=2.31
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|t|=2.31>$ Tab. $|t| 2.262$

Reject $H_{0}$.
9. The mean lifetime of a sample of $\mathbf{2 5}$ bulbs is found as 1550 hours with a S.D. of 120 hours. The company which manufacturing the bulbs claims that the average life of their bulbs is 1600 hours. Is the claim acceptable at $\mathbf{5 \%}$ level of significance?

Solution:

$$
n=25, \bar{x}=1550, s=120, \mu=1600
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu=1600$
2. $H_{1}: \mu<5500$ (one tailed test (left))
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with $d . f=n-1=25-1=24$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
t=\frac{x-\mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}}}=\frac{1550-1600}{\frac{120}{\sqrt{25-1}}}=-2.04
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|t|=2.04>$ Tab. $|t| 1.711$

Reject $H_{0}$.

## Test for Difference of two means $(n<30)$

## t-test

10. Two horses $A$ and $B$ were tested according to the time (in seconds) to run a particular race with the following results :

| Horse A | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Horse B | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ |  |

Test whether the horse $A$ is running faster than $B$ at $5 \%$ level.

Solution: Given

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=7, n_{2}=6 \\
\bar{x}_{1}=\frac{\Sigma x_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{219}{7}=31.29, s_{1}^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)^{2}=\frac{6883}{7}-\left(\frac{219}{7}\right)^{2}=4.23 \\
\bar{x}_{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{2}}{n_{2}}=\frac{169}{6}=28.17, s_{2}^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{4787}{6}-\left(\frac{169}{6}\right)^{2}=4.28 \\
S^{2}=\frac{n_{1} s_{1}^{2}+n_{2} s_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}=5.03
\end{gathered}
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \mu_{1}>\mu_{2}($ One tailed test(Right) $)$
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d. $f=n_{1}+n_{2}-2=11$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}=\frac{31.29-28.17}{\sqrt{5.03\left(\frac{1}{7}+\frac{1}{6}\right)}}=2.498
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|t|=2.498>$ Tab. $t 1.796$

$$
\text { Reject } H_{0}
$$

11. The following are the average weekly losses of working hours due to accidents in $\mathbf{1 0}$ industrial plants before and after an introduction of a safety program was put into operation

| Before | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| After | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Use to 0.05 LOS to test whether the safety program is effective.
Solution: Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad n_{1}=10, n_{2}=10 \\
& \bar{x}_{1}=\frac{\Sigma x_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{538}{10}=53.8, s_{1}^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)^{2}=\frac{38194}{10}-\left(\frac{538}{10}\right)^{2}=924.96 \\
& \bar{x}_{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{2}}{n_{2}}=\frac{486}{10}=48.6, s_{2}^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{32286}{10}-\left(\frac{486}{10}\right)^{2}=866.64 \\
& S^{2}=\frac{n_{1} s_{1}^{2}+n_{2} s_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}=995.33
\end{aligned}
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ (Two tailed test)
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d.f $=n_{1}+n_{2}-2=18$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}=\frac{53.8-48.6}{\sqrt{995.33\left(\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{10}\right)}}=0.369
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|t|=0.369<$ Tab. $t 2.101$

$$
\text { Accept } H_{0} .
$$

12. In a certain experiment to compare two types of pig foods $A \& B$, the following results of increase in weights were observed in pigs

| Food $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Food $B$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ |

Assuming that the two samples of pigs are independent; can we conclude that food $B$ is better than food $A$ ?

Solution: Given

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=8, n_{2}=8 \\
\bar{x}_{1}=\frac{\Sigma x_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{407}{8}=50.875, s_{1}^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)^{2}=\frac{20737}{8}-\left(\frac{407}{8}\right)^{2}=3.86
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}_{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{2}}{n_{2}}=\frac{423}{8}=52.875, s_{2}^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{22383}{8}-\left(\frac{423}{8}\right)^{2}=2.11 \\
S^{2}=\frac{n_{1} s_{1}^{2}+n_{2} s_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}=3.41
\end{gathered}
$$

1. $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}$ (One tailed test (Left))
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d. $f=n_{1}+n_{2}-2=14$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}=\frac{50.875-52.875}{\sqrt{3.41\left(\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{8}\right)}}=-2.17
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|t|=2.17>$ Tab. $t 1.76$

$$
\text { Reject } H_{0}
$$

$$
\chi^{2}-\text { test }
$$

## Test for goodness of fit

It enables us to find if the deviation of the experiment from theory is just by chance or is it really due to the inadequacy of the theory to fit the observed data.

It is defined by

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

where $O$ - Observed frequency, $E$ - expected frequency.
Note: In case of fitting,
(i) Binomial distribution $E=N m C_{x} p^{x} q^{m-x}$, d. $f=n-1$
(ii) Poisson distribution $E=N \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x}}{x!}$, d. $f=n-2, \lambda=\frac{\sum f_{i} x_{i}}{\sum f_{i}}$
13. Five coins are tossed 256 times. The number of heads observed is given below.

Examine if the coins are unbiased, by employing $\chi 2$ goodness of fit.

| No. of heads | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Frequency | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |

Solution: Given, $\quad$ Number of data $n=6$.
Number of coins $m=5$
Total number of frequencies $N=256$

1. $H_{0}$ : Binomial is a good fit
2. $H_{1}:$ Binomial is not a good fit
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with $d . f=n-1=5$
4. Table value of $\chi^{2}=11.07$
5. The test statistic

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

| x | $O$ | $E=N m C_{x} p^{x} q^{m-x}$ | $=2565 C_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-x}$ | $\frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5 | 8 | 1.25 |  |
| 1 | 35 | 40 | 0.625 |  |
| 2 | 75 | 80 | 0.3125 |  |
| 3 | 84 | 80 | 0.2 |  |
| 4 | 45 | 40 | 0.625 |  |
|  | 5 | 12 | 8 | 2 |
| Total | 256 | 256 | 4.8875 |  |

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=\text { probability of getting head when tossing a coin }=\frac{1}{2} \\
q=\text { probability of not getting head when tossing a coin }=\frac{1}{2} \\
\therefore \chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}=4.8875
\end{gathered}
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $\chi^{2}=4.8875<$ Tab. $\cdot \chi^{2} 11.07$

Accept $H_{0}$
Therefore binomial distribution is a good fit to the given data.
14. A company keeps records of accidents. During a recent safety review, a random sample of 60 accidents was selected and classified by the day of the week on which they occurred.

| Day | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. of accidents | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 9 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

Test whether there is any evidence that accidents are more likely on some days than others.
Solution: Given, $\quad$ Number of data $n=5$.
Total number of frequencies $N=60$

1. $H_{0}$ : Given data is a good fit
2. $H_{1}$ : Given data is not a good fit
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d. $f=n-1=4$
4. Table value of $\chi^{2}=9.488$
5. The test statistic

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

| $O$ | $E=\frac{60}{5}$ | $\frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 12 | 1.33 |


|  | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 12 | 0.75 |  |
|  | 14 | 12 | 0.33 |
|  | 17 | 12 | 2.08 |
| Total | 60 | 60 | 4.49 |

6. Conclusion: Cal. $\chi^{2}=4.49<$ Tab. $\chi^{2} 9.488$

Accept $H_{0}$
Therefore given data is a good fit.
15. In 120 throws of a single die, the following distribution of faces was observed.

| Face | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Frequency | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

Can you say that the die is biased?
Solution: Given, $\quad$ Number of data $n=6$.
Total number of frequencies $N=120$

1. $H_{0}:$ Given data is a good fit (Die is unbiased)
2. $H_{1}$ : Given data is not a good fit (Die is biased)
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d. $f=n-1=5$
4. Table value of $\chi^{2}=11.07$
5. The test statistic

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

| $O$ |  |  |  | $E=\frac{120}{6}$ | $\frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 20 | 1.25 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 20 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 20 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 20 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
|  | 15 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Total | 120 | 120 |  |  |  |

$$
\therefore \chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}=12.9
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $\chi^{2}=12.9>$ Tab. $\chi^{2} 11.07$

Reject $H_{0}$
Therefore given data is not a good fit.
The die is biased.
16. A sample analysis of examination results of 500 students was made. It was found that 220 students have failed, 170 have secured a third class, 90 have secured a second class and the rest, a first class So do these figures support the general belief that the above categories are in the ratio $4: 3: 2: 1$ respectively?
Solution: Given, $\quad$ Number of data $n=4$.
Total number of frequencies $N=500$

1. $H_{0}$ : Given data is a good fit
2. $H_{1}$ : Given data is not a good fit
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d.f $=n-1=3$
4. Table value of $\chi^{2}=7.815$
5. The test statistic

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

|  | 0 | E | $\frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 220 | $500 \times \frac{4}{10}=200$ | 2 |
|  | 170 | $500 \times \frac{3}{10}=150$ | 2.667 |
|  | 90 | $500 \times \frac{2}{10}=100$ | 1 |
|  | 20 | $500 \times \frac{1}{10}=50$ | 18 |
| Total | 500 | 500 | 23.667 |

$$
\therefore \chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}=23.667
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $\cdot \chi^{2}=23.667>$ Tab. $\cdot \chi^{2} 7.815$

Reject $H_{0}$
Therefore given data is not a good fit.
17. The following is the distribution of the hourly number of trucks arriving at a company's warehouse

| Trucks arriving per hour | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Frequency | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |

Find the mean of the distribution and using its man (Rounded to one decimal) as the parameter $\lambda$ fit a Poisson distribution. Test for goodness of fit at the LOS $\alpha=0.05$.

Solution: Given, $\quad$ Number of data $n=9$.
Total number of frequencies $N=500$

1. $H_{0}$ : Poisson fit is a good fit
2. $H_{1}$ : Poisson fit is not a good fit
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d. $f=n-2-2=5$
4. Table value of $\chi^{2}=11.07$
5. The test statistic

$$
\lambda=\frac{\sum f_{i} x_{i}}{\sum f_{i}}=\frac{1010}{500}=2.02 \simeq 2 \chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O$ | 52 | 151 | 130 | 102 | 45 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 500 |
| $E=N \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x}}{x!}$ | 68 | 135 | 135 | 90 | 45 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 500 |

In $\chi^{2}$ test, expected frequency must be greater than or equal to 5 .

|  | 0 | $E=N \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x}}{x!}$ | $\frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 52 | 68 | 3.8 |
|  | 151 | 135 | 1.9 |
|  | 130 | 135 | 0.2 |
|  | 102 | 90 | 1.6 |
|  | 45 | 45 | 0 |
|  | 12 | 18 | 2 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 0.1 |
| Total | 500 | 500 | 9.6 |

$$
\therefore \chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}=9.6
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $\chi^{2}=9.6<$ Tab. $\chi^{2} 11.07$

Accept $H_{0}$
Therefore Poisson fit is a good fit.

$$
\chi^{2}-\text { test }
$$

## Test for independence of attributes

Expected frequency in each cell $=\frac{\text { Product of column total and row total }}{\text { whole total }}$,

$$
\text { d.f. }=(r-1)(c-1)
$$

18. For the $2 \times 2$ contingency table


$$
N=a+b+c+d
$$

Proof: Let the two attributes be $A$ and $B$. Then the $2 \times 2$ contingency table is given below:

| a | b | $a+b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c | d | $c+d$ |


| $a+c$ | $b+d$ | $N=a+b+c+d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


$\left.$| $O$ | $E$ | $O-E$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $\frac{(a+c)(a+b)}{N}$ | $\frac{a d-b c}{N}$ |  |
| b | $\frac{(b+d)(a+b)}{N}$ | $\frac{a d-b c}{N}$ |  |
| c | $\frac{(a+c)(c+d)}{N}$ | $\frac{a d-b c}{N}$ |  |
| Total | N | N | $\frac{(b+d)(c+d)}{N}$ |$\frac{a d-b c}{N} \right\rvert\,$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E} \\
&=\frac{(a d-b c)^{2}}{N^{2}}\left[\frac{N}{(a+c)(a+b)}+\frac{N}{(b+d)(a+b)}+\frac{N}{(a+c)(c+d)}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{N}{(b+d)(c+d)}\right] \\
&=\frac{(a d-b c)^{2}}{N}\left[\frac{b+d+a+c}{(a+c)(a+b)(b+d)}+\frac{b+d+a+c}{(c+d)(b+d)(a+b)}\right] \\
&=(a d-b c)^{2}\left[\frac{b+d+a+c}{(a+c)(a+b)(b+d)(c+d)}\right] \\
&=\frac{N(a d-b c)^{2}}{(a+c)(b+d)(a+b)(c+d)}
\end{aligned}
$$

19. Find if there is any association between extravagance in fathers and extravagance in sons from the following data.

|  | Extravagant fathers | Miserly fathers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Extravagant sons | $\mathbf{3 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 1}$ |
| Miserly sons | $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ |

Determine the coefficient of association also.
Solution: Given, $\quad$ Total number of frequencies $N=1847$

1. $H_{0}$ : Data are independent
2. $H_{1}:$ Data are dependent
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d. $f=(r-1)(c-1)=(2-1)(2-1)=1$
4. Table value of $\chi^{2}=3.841$
5. The test statistic

$$
\chi^{2}=\frac{N(a d-b c)^{2}}{(a+c)(b+d)(a+b)(c+d)}
$$

$$
=\frac{1847(327 \times 234-545 \times 741)^{2}}{(872)(975)(1068)(779)}=230.24
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $\chi^{2}=230.24>$ Tab. $\chi^{2} 3.841$

Reject $H_{0}$
20. In an investigation into the health and nutrition of two groups of children of different social status, the following resuts are got

| Social status/ <br> Healths | Poor | Rich | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Below normal | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |
| Normal | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ |
| Above normal | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 0}$ |

Discuss the relation between the Health and their social status.

Solution: Given, Total number of frequencies $N=480$

1. $H_{0}:$ Data are independent.
2. $H_{1}:$ Data are dependent
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d. $f=(r-1)(c-1)=(3-1)(2-1)=2$
4. Table value of $\chi^{2}=5.991$
5. The test statistic

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}
$$

Expected frequency in each cell $=\frac{\text { Product of column total and row total }}{\text { whole total }}$

| $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $E$ | $(O-E)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | E |
|  | 130 | 80 | 31.25 |
|  | 20 | 70 | 35.71 |
|  | 102 | 112 | 0.89 |
|  | 108 | 98 | 1.02 |
|  | 24 | 64 | 25 |
|  | 96 | 56 | 28.57 |
| Total | 480 | 480 | 122.44 |

$$
\therefore \chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}=122.44
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $\chi^{2}=122.44>$ Tab $\cdot \chi^{2} 5.991$

Reject $H_{0}$

## F-Test

## Test for difference of variances of two samples.

If $s_{1}^{2}$ and $s_{2}^{2}$ are the variances of two sample of sizes $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ respectively, the estimates of the population variance based on these samples are respectively $S_{1}^{2}=\frac{n_{1} s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}-1}$ and $S_{2}^{2}=\frac{n_{2} s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}-1}$. The quantities $v_{1}=n_{1}-1$ and $v_{2}=n_{2}-1$ are called the degrees of freedom of these estimates We want to test if these estimates $S_{1}^{2}$ and $S_{2}^{2}$ are significantly different or if the samples may be regarded as drawn from the same population or from two populations with same variance $\sigma^{2}$.

## F- test statistic

$$
\begin{aligned}
F= & \frac{S_{1}^{2}}{S_{2}^{2}}=\frac{\frac{n_{1} s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}-1}}{\frac{n_{2} s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}-1}} \\
& \text { Provided } S_{1}^{2}>S_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

21. A group of $\mathbf{1 0}$ rats fed on diet $\boldsymbol{A}$ and another group of $\mathbf{8}$ rats fed on diet , recorded the following increase in weight.

| Diet $A$ | 5 | 6 | 8 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diet $B$ | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 8 |  |  |

Find if the variances are significantly different.
Solution: Given

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=10, n_{2}=8 \\
\bar{x}_{1}=\frac{\Sigma x_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{64}{10}=6.4, \quad s_{1}^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)^{2}=\frac{512}{10}-\left(\frac{64}{10}\right)^{2}=10.24 \\
\bar{x}_{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{2}}{n_{2}}=\frac{40}{8}=5, \quad s_{2}^{2}=\frac{\Sigma x_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}-\left(\frac{\Sigma x_{2}}{n_{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{282}{8}-\left(\frac{40}{8}\right)^{2}=10.25 \\
S_{1}^{2}=\frac{n_{1} s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}-1}=\frac{(10)(10.24)^{2}}{10-1}=11.3777 \\
S_{2}^{2}=\frac{n_{2} s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}-1}=\frac{(8)(10.25)^{2}}{8-1}=11.7143
\end{gathered}
$$

1. $H_{0}: \sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \sigma_{1}^{2} \neq \sigma_{2}^{2}$
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d.f $v_{1}=n_{2}-1=8-1=7$ and $v_{2}=n_{1}-1=10-1=9$

$$
\because S_{2}^{2}>S_{1}^{2}
$$

Table value $\mathrm{F}=3.29$
4. The test statistic $F=\frac{s_{2}^{2}}{s_{1}^{2}}=\frac{11.7143}{11.3777}=1.02958$
5. Conclusion: Cal. $|F|=1.022958<$ Tab. $F 3.29$

$$
\text { Accept } H_{0} .
$$

22. In one sample of $\mathbf{8}$ observations, the sum of the squares of deviations of the sample values from the sample mean was 84.4 and in the other sample of $\mathbf{1 0}$ observations
was 102.6. Test whether this difference is significant at $5 \%$ level, given that the 5 percent point of $\boldsymbol{F}$ for $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}=7$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{9}$ degrees of freedom is 3.29.
Solution: Given $\quad n_{1}=8, n_{2}=10$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum\left(X_{1}-\overline{X_{1}}\right)^{2}=84.4, \sum\left(X_{2}-\overline{X_{2}}\right)^{2}=102.6 \\
S_{1}^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(X_{1}-\overline{X_{1}}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}-1}=\frac{84.4}{8-1}=12.057 \\
S_{2}^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(X_{2}-\overline{X_{2}}\right)^{2}}{n_{2}-1}=\frac{102.6}{10-1}=11.42
\end{gathered}
$$

1. $H_{0}: \sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \sigma_{1}^{2} \neq \sigma_{2}^{2}$
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d.f $v_{1}=n_{1}-1=7$ and $v_{2}=n_{2}-1=9$

$$
\because S_{1}^{2}>S_{2}^{2}
$$

Table value $\mathrm{F}=3.29$
4. The test statistic $F=\frac{S_{2}^{2}}{S_{1}^{2}}=\frac{12.057}{11.42}=1.057$
5. Conclusion: Cal. $|F|=1.057<$ Tab. F 3.29

Accept $H_{0}$.

## 23. Two random samples give the following results

| Sample | Size | Sample Mean | Sum of squares of deviations from the mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 90 |
| II | 12 | 14 | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ |

Test whether the samples could have from the same normal population.
Solution: A normal population has two parameters namely the mean $\mu$ and the variance $\sigma^{2}$. If we want to test the samples from the same normal population, we have to test
(i) Test for difference of variances using F-test
(ii) Test for difference of means using t-test

We have the tests in order
Given $\quad n_{1}=10, n_{2}=12$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum\left(X_{1}-\overline{X_{1}}\right)^{2} & =90, \sum\left(X_{2}-\overline{X_{2}}\right)^{2}=108 \\
S_{1}^{2} & =\frac{\sum\left(X_{1}-\overline{X_{1}}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}-1}=\frac{90}{10-1}=10 \\
S_{2}^{2} & =\frac{\sum\left(X_{2}-\overline{X_{2}}\right)^{2}}{n_{2}-1}=\frac{108}{12-1}=9.8181
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) F-TEST

1. $H_{0}: \sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \sigma_{1}^{2} \neq \sigma_{2}^{2}$
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d.f $v_{1}=n_{1}-1=9$ and $v_{2}=n_{2}-1=11$

$$
\because S_{1}^{2}>S_{2}^{2}
$$

Table value $F=2.9$
4. The test statistic $F=\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{S_{2}^{2}}=\frac{10}{9.8181}=1.019$
5. Conclusion: Cal. $|F|=1.019<$ Tab. $F 2.9$

Accept $H_{0}$.
Note : If F - test fails, no need to proceed fot t-test

## (ii) t-TEST

1. $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$
2. $H_{1}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ (Two tailed test)
3. $\alpha=5 \%$ with d. $f=n_{1}+n_{2}-2=20$,Tab. $t=2.086$
4. Critical region:
5. The test statistic

$$
\begin{gathered}
S^{2}=\frac{n_{1} s_{1}^{2}+n_{2} s_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}=\frac{\sum\left(X_{1}-\overline{X_{1}}\right)^{2}+\sum\left(X_{2}-\overline{X_{2}}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}=\frac{90+108}{20}=9.9 \\
t=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}=\frac{15-14}{\sqrt{9.9\left(\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{12}\right)}}=-1.7336
\end{gathered}
$$

6. Conclusion: Cal. $|t|=1.7336>$ Tab. $t 2.08$ Accept $H_{0}$.
$\therefore$ The samples are taken from same normal population

## UNIT II

## DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS

## PART A

## 1. What do you understand by Design of Experiments?

## Solution:

By 'experiment' we mean the collection of data (which usually consists of a series of measurement of some feature of an object) for a scientific investigation according to a certain specified sampling procedures.

## 2. Distinguish between experimental variables and extraneous variables. <br> Solution:

Consider the example of agricultural experiment which may be performed to verify the claim that particular manure has got the effect of increasing the yield of paddy. Here the quantity of manure used and amount of yield of paddy are known as experimental variables. And factors such as rainfall, quality of soil and quality of seeds (will also affect the yield of paddy, which are not under study) are called extraneous variables.

## 3. What is the aim of design of experiments?

## Solution:

In any statistical experiment we will have both experimental variables and extraneous variables. The aim of the design of experiments is to control extraneous variables and hence to minimize the error so that the results of experiments could be attributed only to the experimental variables.
4. State the basic principles of design of experiments.
(Or)
What are the basic principles of design of experiments?

## Solution:

1. Randomization 2.Replication 3.Local control.

## 5. What do you mean by experimental group and control group?

## Solution:

Consider an agricultural experiment. To analyze the effect of a manure in the yield of paddy, we use the manure in some plots of same size(group of experimental units) is called experimental group and the some other group of plots in which the manure is used and which will provide a basis for comparison is called the control group.

## 6. Explain the techniques used in the local control of extraneous variables. Solution:

(i).By grouping, we mean combining sets of homogeneous plots into groups, so that different manures may be used in different groups.
(ii).By blocking, we mean assigning the same number of plots in different blocks
(iii).By balancing, we mean adjusting the procedures of grouping, blocking and assigning the manures in such a manner that a balanced configuration is obtained.

## 7. Explain replication. <br> Solution:

In order to study the effects of different manures on the yield are studied, each manure is used in more than one plot. In other words, we resort to replication which means repetition.

## 8. Name the basic designs of experiments. <br> Solution:

1. Completely Randomized Design ( ANOVA one way classification)
2. Randomized Block Design ( ANOVA two way classification)
3. Latin Square Design ( ANOVA three way classification)

## 9. Explain ANOVA.

## Solution:

ANOVA enables us to divide the total variation (represented by variance) in a group into parts which are accounted to different factors and a residual random variation which could be accounted for by any of these factors. The variation due to any specific factor is compared with the residual variation for significance, and hence the effects of the factors are concluded.

## 10. Explain CRD.

## Solution:

Consider an experiment of agriculture in which " h " treatments (manures) and " n " plots are available. To control the extraneous variables treatment " 1 " should be replicated on " $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ " plots, treatment 2 should be replicated on " $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ " plots and so on. To reduce the error we have to randomize this process that is which $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ plots be used treatment 1 and so on. For this we number the plots (from 1 to $n$ ) and write the numbers on cards and shuffle well. Now, we select $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ cards (as cards are selected at random the numbers will not be in order) on which treatments 1 will be used and so on. This process and design is called completely randomized design.

## 11. Explain RBD.

Solution:
Consider an experiment of agriculture in which effects of " k " treatments on the yield of paddy used. For this we select " $n$ " plots. If the quality of soil of these " $n$ " plots is known, then these plots are divided into " $h$ " blocks (each with one quality). Each of these " $h$ " blocks are divided into " k " times ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{hk}$ ) and in each one of this " k " plots are applied the " k " treatments in a perfectly randomized manner such that each treatment occurs only once in any of the block. This design is called randomized block design.

## 12. Explain LSD. Solution:

Consider an agricultural experiment, in which $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ plots are taken and arranged in the form of an $n \mathrm{X}$ n square, such that plots in each row will be homogeneous as far as possible with respect to one factor of classification, say, quality of soil and plots in each column will be homogeneous with respect to another factor of classification, say, seed quality. Then " n " treatments are given to these plots such that each treatment occurs only once in each tow and only once in each column. The various possible arrangements obtained in this manner are known as Latin squares of order " $n$ " and the design is called Latin Square Design.

## 13. Is a $2 \times 2$ Latin Square Design possible? Why?

## Solution:

In a 2 X 2 LSD , the degree of freedom for the residual variation is $(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)=0$ which is not possible. Therefore 2 X 2 LSD is not possible.

## 14. Compare LSD and RBD.

## Solution:

| RBD | LSD |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.The number of replications of each | 1. There is no such restriction |
| 2. Can be performed in square field | treatment is equal to the number of <br> only |
| treatments. |  |
| 3. Number of treatments must be | 2. Can be performed in square or |
| 4.It controls two extraneous variables | 3. No such restriction <br> 4. Controls only one extraneous <br> variable. |

15. Write down the ANOVA table for one way classification. (MAY 2012)

## Solution:

Analysis of variance table for One Way Classification

| Source of <br> variation | Sum of <br> Squares | Degrees of <br> freedom | Mean Sum of <br> Squares | Variance <br> Ratio | Table value <br> of F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Columns | SSC | C-1 | MSC $=\frac{S}{L-1}$ | $F_{C}=\frac{M}{M}$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Error | SSE | N-C | MSE $=\frac{S}{N-L}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | TSS |  |  |  |  |  |

## PART B

1. The following are the numbers of mistakes made in 5 successive days of 4 technicians working for a photographic laboratory:

| Technician I <br> $\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}\right)$ | Technician II <br> $\left(\mathbf{( X}_{2}\right)$ | Technician III <br> $\left(\mathbf{( X}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | Technician IV <br> $\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Test at the level of significance $\alpha=0.01$, whether the differences among the 4 sample means , can be attributed to chance.
Solution: $\quad H_{0}=$ There is no significant difference between the technicians (columns)
$H_{1}=$ There is significant difference between the technicians (columns)
We shift the origin to 10

| Technicians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{I I}$ | $\mathbf{I I}$ | $\mathbf{I I I}$ | $\mathbf{I V}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{T o t a l}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\mathbf{}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| -4 | 4 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | -1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 0 | 2 | -3 | -2 | -3 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 4 |
| -2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 1 |
| Total $=-1$ | 9 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 37 | 37 | 39 | 10 |

Step 1: $\mathrm{N}=20, \mathrm{~T}=13, \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}=\frac{T^{2}}{N}=\frac{1}{2}=8.45$
Step 2: $\mathbf{T S S}=\sum X_{1}^{2}+\sum X_{2}^{2}+\sum X_{3}^{2}+\sum X_{4}^{2}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =37+37+39+10-8.45 \\
& =114.55
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: SSC $=\frac{\left(\sum X_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{3}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=$ Number of elements in each column

$$
=\frac{(-1)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(9)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(5)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(0)^{2}}{5}-8.45
$$

$$
=12.95
$$

Step 4 : SSE = TSS - SSC

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =114.55-12.95 \\
& =101.6
\end{aligned}
$$

Analysis of variance table for One Way Classification

| Source of variation | Sum of Squares | Degrees <br> of <br> freedom | Mean Sum of Squares | Variance Ratio | Table value of F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 1\% |
| Columns | $\mathrm{SSC}=12.95$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}-1 \\ & =4-1 \\ & =3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MSC} & =\frac{S}{L-1}=\frac{1.9}{3} \\ & =4.317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{6.35}{4.317} \\ & =1.471 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(16,3) \\ & =8.68 \end{aligned}$ | 26.89 |
| Error | SSE=101.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} \\ & =20-4 \\ & =16 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { MSE } & =\frac{S}{N-L}=\frac{1.6}{1} \\ & =6.35 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | TSS $=114.55$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Conclusion

$\mathrm{Cal} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.471<\mathrm{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}(16,3)=8.68$ [There is a no significant difference]
2. An experiment was designed to study the performance of 4 different detergents for cleaning fuel injectors. Thefollowing "deanliness" readings were obtained with specially designed equipment for 12 tanks of gas distributed over 3 different models of engines:

|  | Engine 1 | Engine 2 | Engine 3 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Detergent A | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ |
| Detergent B | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ |
| Detergent C | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ |
| Detergent D | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 5}$ |

Perform the ANOVA and test at 0.01 level of significance, whether there are differences in the detergents or in the engines.
Solution: Changing the origin by 50

| Detergents | Engines |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{I I}$ | $\mathbf{I I I}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{T o t a l}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | -5 | -7 | 1 | -11 | 25 | 49 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | -3 | -4 | 2 | -5 | 9 | 16 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | -2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | -8 | -13 | -1 | -22 | 64 | 169 | 1 |
| Total | -18 | -24 | 7 | -35 | 102 | 234 | 31 |

Step 1: $\mathrm{N}=12, \mathrm{~T}=-35, \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}=\frac{T^{2}}{N}=\frac{(-3)^{2}}{1}=102.08$
Step $2: \mathbf{T S S}=\sum X_{1}^{2}+\sum X_{2}^{2}+\sum X_{3}^{2}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =102+234+31-102.08 \\
& =264.92
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: $\mathbf{S S C}=\frac{\left(\sum X_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{1}=\text { Number of elements in each column }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(-1)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-2)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(7)^{2}}{4}-102.08 \\
& =135.17
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: SSR $=\frac{\left(\sum Y_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{1}=\text { Number of elements in each row }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(-1)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-5)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(3)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-2)^{2}}{4}-102.08 \\
& =110.91
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5 : SSE = TSS - SSC - SSR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =264.92-135.17-110.91 \\
& =18.84
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analysis of variance table for Randomized Block Design

| Source of variation | Sum of <br> Squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean Sum of Squares | Variance Ratio | Table value of F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1\% |
| Blocks | $\mathrm{SSC}=135.17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c}-1 \\ & =3-1 \\ & =2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MSC} & =\frac{S}{4-1} \\ & =\frac{1}{2} .1 \\ & =67.585 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{67.585}{3.14} \\ & =21.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(2,6) \\ & =10.92 \end{aligned}$ |
| Treatments | SSR=110.91 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{r}-1 \\ & =4-1 \\ & =3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MSR} & =\frac{S}{t_{-1}} \\ & =\frac{1-9}{3} \\ & =36.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{36.97}{3.14} \\ & =11.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(3,6) \\ & =9.78 \end{aligned}$ |
| Error | SSE=18.84 | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{c}-1)(\mathrm{r}-1) \\ & =6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { MSE } & =\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\left(\frac{1-1)(t-1)}{(1.8}\right.} \\ & =\frac{6}{6} \\ & =3.14 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Total | TSS $=264.92$ | 11 | $\square$ | r |  |

## Conclusion

(i) $\quad$ Cal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}=21.52>\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(2,6)=10.92$ [ There is a significant difference ]
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{Cal} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=11.77>\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(3,9)=9.78 \quad$ [ There is a significant difference ]
3. Four varieties $A, B, C, D$ of a fertilizer are tested in randomized block design with 4 replication. The plot yields in pounds are as follows:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A 12 | D 20 | C 16 | B 10 |
| 2 | D 18 | A 14 | B 11 | C 14 |
| 3 | B 12 | C 15 | D 19 | A 13 |
| 4 | C 16 | B 11 | A 15 | D 20 |

Analyze the experimental yield
Solution: Arrange $A, B, C, D$ row - wise

| Detergents | Engines |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}_{3}{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enne |  |  |  | Total | $\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{X}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | 12 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 54 | 144 | 196 | 225 | 169 |
| B $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 44 | 144 | 121 | 121 | 100 |
| C $\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ | 16 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 61 | 256 | 225 | 256 | 196 |
| D $\mathrm{Y}_{4}$ | 18 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 77 | 324 | 400 | 361 | 400 |
| Total | 58 | 60 | 61 | 57 | 236 | 868 | 942 | 963 | 865 |

Step 1: $\mathrm{N}=16, \mathrm{~T}=236, \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}=\frac{T^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{(2)^{2}}{1}=3481$
Step $2: \mathbf{T S S}=\sum X_{1}^{2}+\sum X_{2}^{2}+\sum X_{3}^{2}+\sum X_{4}^{2}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =868+942+963+865-3481 \\
& =157
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: $\mathrm{SSC}=\frac{\left(\sum X_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=$ Number of elements in each column

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(5)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-6)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(5)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(5)^{2}}{4}-3481 \\
& =2
\end{aligned}
$$

Step $4: \operatorname{SSR}=\frac{\left(\sum Y_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{1}=\text { Number of elements in each row }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(5)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(4)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(6)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(7)^{2}}{4}-3481 \\
& =144.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5 : SSE = TSS - SSC - SSR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =157-2-144.5 \\
& =10.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Analysis of variance table for Randomized Block Design

| Source of variation | Sum of Squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean Sum of Squares | Variance Ratio | Table value of F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5\% |
| Blocks | $\mathrm{SSC}=2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c}-1 \\ & =4-1 \\ & =3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MSC} & =\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\frac{L}{2}-1} \\ & =\frac{2}{3} \\ & =0.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{1.17}{0.67} \\ & =1.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(9,3) \\ & =8.81 \end{aligned}$ |
| Treatments | SSR=144.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{r}-1 \\ & =4-1 \\ & =3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { MSR } & =\frac{S}{t-1} \\ & =\frac{1}{3} .5 \\ & =48.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{48.17}{1.17} \\ & =41.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(3,9) \\ & =3.86 \end{aligned}$ |
| Error | SSE=10.5 | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{c}-1)(\mathrm{r}-1) \\ & =9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { MSE } & =\frac{S}{(t-1)(t-1)} \\ & =\frac{1.5}{9} \\ & =1.17 \end{aligned}$ |  | d |
| Total | TSS $=157$ | 15 | Pa | \% |  |

## Conclusion

(i) $\quad$ Cal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}=1.75<\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(9,3)=8.81$ [ There is a no significant difference ]
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{Cal} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=11.77>\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(1,9)=9.78$ [ There is a significant difference ]
4. The following is a Latin square of a design, when 4 varieties of seeds are being tested. Set up the analysis of variance table and state your conclusion. You may carry out suitable change of origin and scale.

| A 105 | B 95 | C 125 | D 115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 115 | D 125 | A 105 | B 105 |
| D 115 | C 95 | B 105 | A 115 |
| B 95 | A 135 | D 95 | C 115 |

Solution: Subtract 100 and then divided by 5, we get

| A 1 | B - 1 | C 5 | D 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 3 | D 5 | A 1 | B 1 |
| D 3 | C -1 | B 1 | A 3 |
| B -1 | A 7 | D -1 | C 3 |


|  | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{I I}$ | $\mathbf{I I I}$ | $\mathbf{I V}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{T o t a l}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1 | -1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 25 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 3 | -1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | -1 | 7 | -1 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 9 |
| Total | 6 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 32 | 20 | 76 | 28 | 28 |

Step 1: $\mathrm{N}=16, \mathrm{~T}=32$, $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}=\frac{T^{2}}{N}=\frac{3^{2}}{1}=64$
Step $2:$ TSS $=\sum X_{1}^{2}+\sum X_{2}^{2}+\sum X_{3}^{2}+\sum X_{4}^{2}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
=20+76+28+28-64
$$

$$
=88
$$

Step 3: SSC $=\frac{\left(\sum X_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{2}}{N_{\mathrm{I}}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{2}}{N_{\mathrm{I}}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=$ Number of elements in each column

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(6)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(1)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(6)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(1)^{2}}{4}-64 \\
& =4
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: $\mathrm{SSR}=\frac{\left(\sum Y_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{2} & =\text { Number of elements in each row } \\
& =\frac{(\mathrm{B})^{2}}{4}+\frac{(\mathrm{I})^{2}}{4}+\frac{(6)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(\mathrm{B})^{2}}{4}-64 \\
& =2
\end{aligned}
$$

To find SSK:
Arrange the elements in the order of treatment

| $\mathbf{A}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 5 | 3 | -1 | 3 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 3 | 5 | 3 | -1 | 10 |

Step 5: $\mathbf{S S K}=\frac{\left(\sum A\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum B\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum C\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum D\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=$ Number of elements in each row

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(1)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(0)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(1)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(1)^{2}}{4}-64 \\
& =22
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6: SSE = TSS - SSC - SSR - SSK

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =88-4-2-22 \\
& =60
\end{aligned}
$$

Analysis of variance table for Latin Square Design

| Source of variation | Sum of Squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean Sum of Squares | Variance Ratio | Table value of F 5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columns | $\mathrm{SSC}=4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c}-1 \\ & =4-1 \\ & =3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MSC} & =\frac{\frac{5}{4-1}}{4} \\ & =\frac{4}{3} \\ & =1.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{10}{1.33} \\ & =7.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(6,3) \\ & =8.94 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rows | SSR=2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c}-1 \\ & =4-1 \\ & =3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MSR} & =\frac{5}{h-1} \\ & =\frac{2}{3} \\ & =0.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}} & =\frac{\bar{M}}{M} \\ & =\frac{10}{0.67} \\ & =14.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(6,3) \\ & =8.94 \end{aligned}$ |
| Treatments | $\mathrm{SSK}=22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c}-1 \\ & =4-1 \\ & =3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MSK} & =\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\frac{L}{4}-1} \\ & =\frac{2}{3} \\ & =7.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{10}{7.33} \\ & =1.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}(6,3) \\ & =8.94 \end{aligned}$ |
| Error | $\mathrm{SSE}=60$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{c}-1)(\mathrm{r}-2) \\ & =6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { MSE } & =\frac{S}{(\tau-1)(t-2)} \\ & =\frac{6}{6} \\ & =10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## Conclusion

(i) $\quad \operatorname{Cal~} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}=7.52<\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(6,3)=8.94$ [ There is a no significant difference ]
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{Cal} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=14.9>\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(6,3)=8.94$ [ There is a significant difference ]
(iii) $\quad$ Cal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}=1.36<\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}(6,3)=8.94$ [ There is a no significant difference ]
5. In a Latin square experiment given below are the yields in quintals per acre on the paddy crop carried out for testing the effect of five fertilizers $A, B, C, D, E$. Analyze the data for variations

| B 25 | A 18 | E 27 | D 30 | C 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 19 | D 31 | C 29 | E 26 | B 23 |
| C 28 | B 22 | D 33 | A 18 | E 27 |
| E 28 | C 26 | A 20 | B 25 | D 33 |
| D 32 | E 25 | B 23 | C 28 | A 20 |

Solution: Subtract 100 and then divided by 5, we get

| B 0 | A 18 | E 27 | D 30 | C 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A -6 | D 31 | C 29 | E 26 | B 23 |
| C 3 | B 22 | D 33 | A 18 | E 27 |
| E 3 | C 26 | A 20 | B 25 | D 33 |
| D 7 | E 25 | B 23 | C 28 | A 20 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{X}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{V} \\ & \mathbf{X}_{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\mathbf{X}_{1}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathbf{X 2}_{2}{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | $\mathbf{X ~}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{5}{ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | 0 | -7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 25 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{2}$ | -6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | -2 | 3 | 36 | 36 | 16 | 1 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ | 3 | -3 | 8 | -7 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 64 | 49 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{4}$ | 3 | 1 | -5 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 64 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{5}$ | 7 | 0 | -2 | 3 | -5 | 3 | 49 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 25 |
| Total | 7 | -3 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 18 | 103 | 95 | 113 | 84 | 101 |

Step 1: $\mathrm{N}=25, \mathrm{~T}=18, \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{F}=\frac{T^{2}}{N}=\frac{1^{2}}{2}=12.96$
Step $2: \mathbf{T S S}=\sum X_{1}^{2}+\sum X_{2}^{2}+\sum X_{3}^{2}+\sum X_{4}^{2}+\sum X_{5}^{2}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =103+95+113+84+101-12.96 \\
& =483.04
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: SSC $=\frac{\left(\sum x_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{2}}{N_{\mathrm{A}}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{1}=\text { Number of elements in each column }
$$

$$
=\frac{(7)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(-3)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(7)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(2)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(5)^{2}}{5}-12.96
$$

$$
=14.24
$$

Step 4: $\mathrm{SSR}=\frac{\left(\sum Y_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{2} & =\text { Number of elements in each row } \\
& =\frac{(2)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(3)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(3)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(7)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(3)^{2}}{5}-12.96 \\
& =3.04
\end{aligned}
$$

To find SSK:
Arrange the elements in the order of treatment
TOTAL

| $\mathbf{A}$ | -6 | -7 | -5 | -7 | -5 | $\mathbf{- 3 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | 0 | -3 | -2 | 0 | -2 | $\mathbf{- 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 7 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 8 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Step 5: $\mathbf{S S K}=\frac{\left(\sum A\right)^{2}}{N_{z}}+\frac{\left(\sum B\right)^{2}}{N_{z}}+\frac{\left(\sum L\right)^{2}}{N_{z}}+\frac{\left(\sum D\right)^{2}}{N_{z}}+\frac{\left(\sum E\right)^{2}}{N_{z}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{2} & =\text { Number of elements in each row } \\
& =\frac{(-3)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(-7)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(1)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(3)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(8)^{2}}{5}-12.96 \\
& =454.64
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6: SSE = TSS - SSC - SSR - SSK

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =483.04-14.24-3.04-454.64 \\
& =11.12
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analysis of variance table for Latin Square Design

| Source of variation | Sum of <br> Squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean Sum of Squares | Variance <br> Ratio | Table value of F 5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columns | $\mathrm{SSC}=14.24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c}-1 \\ & =5-1 \\ & =4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MSC} & =\frac{S}{L-1} \\ & =\frac{1.2}{4} \\ & =3.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{3.56}{0.927} \\ & =3.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(4,12) \\ & =3.26 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rows | SSR=3.04 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c}-1 \\ & =5-1 \\ & =4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { MSR } & =\frac{S}{L-1} \\ & =\frac{B .0}{4} \\ & =0.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{0.927}{0.76} \\ & =1.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(12,4) \\ & =5.91 \end{aligned}$ |
| Treatments | $\mathrm{SSK}=454.64$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c}-1 \\ & =5-1 \\ & =4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { MSK } & =\frac{S}{L-1} \\ & =\frac{4}{4} .6 \\ & =113.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}=\frac{M}{M} \\ &= \frac{113.66}{0.927} \\ &=122.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{K}(4,12) \\ & =3.26 \end{aligned}$ |
| Error | $\mathrm{SSE}=11.12$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{c}-1)(\mathrm{r}-2) \\ & =12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { MSE } & =\frac{S}{(t-1)(t-2)} \\ & =\frac{1.1}{1} \\ & =0.927 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## Conclusion

(i) $\quad \mathrm{Cal} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}=3.84>$ Tab. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(4,12)=3.26 \quad$ [ There is a significant difference ]
(ii) $\quad$ Cal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.22<\mathrm{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(12,4)=5.91$ [ There is a no significant difference ]
(iii) $\mathrm{Cal}_{\mathrm{K}}=122.6>\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}(4,12)=3.26$ [ There is a significant difference ]
6. Find out the main effects and interactions in the following $\boldsymbol{2}^{\boldsymbol{2}}$ - factorial experiment and write down the analysis of variance table:

|  | $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ <br> $\mathbf{0 0}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ <br> $\mathbf{0 1}$ | $\mathbf{a b}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Block I | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| II | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ |
| III | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| IV | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

Solution : Taking deviation from $\mathrm{y}=37$,

| Treatment | Blocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{X}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & \mathbf{X}_{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\mathrm{XI}_{1}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X 2}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}{ }^{2}$ |
| ( $\mathbf{Y}_{1}$ ) (1) | 27 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 142 | 729 | 1444 | 1521 | 1444 |
| $\left(\mathbf{Y}_{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{a}$ | -12 | -23 | -25 | -4 | -64 | 144 | 529 | 625 | 16 |
| $\left(\mathbf{Y}_{3}\right) \quad \mathrm{b}$ | -7 | 13 | 4 | -12 | -2 | 49 | 169 | 16 | 144 |
| $\left(\mathbf{Y}_{4}\right) \quad \mathbf{a b}$ | -31 | -4 | -20 | -27 | -82 | 961 | 16 | 400 | 729 |
| Total | -23 | 24 | -2 | -5 | -6 | 1883 | 2158 | 2562 | 2333 |

Step 1: $\mathrm{N}=16, \mathrm{~T}=-6, \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}=\frac{T^{2}}{N}=\frac{3}{1}=2.5$
Step 2: $\mathbf{T S S}=\sum X_{1}^{2}+\sum X_{2}^{2}+\sum X_{3}^{2}+\sum X_{4}^{2}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
=1883+2158+2562+2333-2.25
$$

$$
=8933.75
$$

Step 3: $\mathbf{S S C}=\frac{\left(\sum X_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum x_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{3}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum X_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{1}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N}_{1} & =\text { Number of elements in each column } \\
& =\frac{(-2)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(2)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-2)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-5)^{2}}{4}-2.25 \\
& =281.25
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: $\mathbf{S S R}=\frac{\left(\sum Y_{1}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{2}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{2}}{N_{2}}+\frac{\left(\sum Y_{4}\right)^{2}}{N_{Z}}-\frac{T^{2}}{N}$
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=$ Number of elements in each row

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(1)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-6)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-2)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-8)^{2}}{4}-2.25 \\
& =7744.75
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5: SSE = TSS - SSC - SSR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =8933 \mathrm{~K}-281 \downarrow-774475 \\
& =907.75
\end{aligned}
$$

## For $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ experiment

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{A}} & =\frac{[a+a-b-(1)]^{2}}{1} \\
& =\frac{[-6-8+2-1]^{2}}{1} \\
& =5112.25 \\
\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{B}} & =\frac{[b+a-a-(1)]^{2}}{1} \\
& =\frac{[-2-8+6-1]^{2}}{1} \\
& =1640.25
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{A B}} & =\frac{[a+(1)-u-b]^{2}}{1} \\
& =\frac{[-8+1+6+2]^{2}}{1} \\
& =992.25
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analysis of variance table for the $2^{\mathbf{2}}$ experiment

| Source of variation | Sum of <br> Squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean Sum of Squares | Variance Ratio | Table value of F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 1\% |
| Blocks | SSC=281.5 | 3 | MSC=93.83 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{100.86}{93.83} \\ & =1.075 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}(9,3) \\ & =8.81 \end{aligned}$ | 27.35 |
| Treatments | $\mathrm{SSR}=7744.75$ | 3 | MSR=2581.58 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{2581.88}{100.86} \\ & =25.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(3,9) \\ & =3.86 \end{aligned}$ | 6.99 |
| A | $\mathrm{SSA}=5112.25$ | $1$ | $\mathrm{MSA}=5112.25$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{M}{M} \\ =\frac{5112.25}{100.86} \\ =50.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}(1,9) \\ & =5.12 \end{aligned}$ | 6.99 |
| B | $\mathrm{SSB}=1640.25$ | 1 | $\mathrm{MSB}=1640.25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{M}{M} \\ & =\frac{1640.25}{100.86} \\ & =16.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(1,9) \\ & =5.12 \end{aligned}$ | 6.99 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{A B}}$ | $\mathrm{SSAB}=992.25$ | 1 | MSAB=992.25 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{AB}} & =\frac{M}{M} \\ = & \frac{992.25}{100.86} \\ & =9.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{AB}}(1,9) \\ & =5.12 \end{aligned}$ | 6.99 |
| Error | SSE $=907.75$ | 9 | MSE=100.86 |  |  |  |

## Conclusion

(iv) $\quad$ Cal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}=50.69>\quad$ Tab. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A}}(1,9)=5.12$
(v) $\quad \mathrm{Cal} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}=16.26>\operatorname{Tab} . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}(1,9)=5.12$
(vi) $\quad$ Cal $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{AB}}=9.84>$ Tab. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{AB}}(1,9)=5.12$

## UNIT - III

## SOLUTION OF EQUATIONS AND EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

## PART - A

1. Give Newton Raphson iterative formula.

Solution:

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, n=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots
$$

2. How will you find a negative root of a polynomial equation by Iteration method?
Solution:
Let $f(x)=0$ be the polynomial. The negative root of this polynomial can be obtained by finding the positive root of $f(-x)=0$.
3. State the order (rate) of convergence and convergence condition for Newton Raphson method.

## Solution:

The order of convergence of Newton Raphson method is 2
(quadratic) and convergence condition is $\left|f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|<\left[f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}$.
4. Show that Newton Raphson formula to find $\sqrt{a}$ can be expressed
in the form $x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+\frac{a}{x_{n}}\right)$

## Solution:

Let $\mathrm{x}=\sqrt{a} \Rightarrow x^{2}=a$
(i.e.) $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{a} \Rightarrow f\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n}^{2}-a, f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=2 x_{n}$

By Newton Raphson method,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} & =x_{n}-\frac{\left(x_{n}^{2}-a\right)}{2 x_{n}} \\
& =\frac{x_{n}^{2}+a}{2 x_{n}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+\frac{a}{x_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Derive Newton's algorithm for finding the $p^{\text {th }}$ root of a number $N$.

## Solution:

Let $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N}^{1 / \mathrm{p}}$

$$
\Rightarrow x^{p}=N \Rightarrow x^{p}-N=0
$$

i.e. $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=x^{p}-N \Rightarrow f\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n}^{p}-N, f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=p x_{n}^{p-1}$

By Newton Raphson method,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} & =x_{n}-\frac{x_{n}^{p}-N}{p x_{n}^{p-1}} \\
& =\frac{(p-1) x_{n}^{p}+N}{p x_{n}^{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Establish an iteration formula to find the reciprocal of a positive number $\mathbf{N}$ by Newton Raphson method.

## Solution:

Let $\mathrm{x}=1 / \mathrm{N}$

$$
\Rightarrow N=\frac{1}{x} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{x}-N=0
$$

(i.e.) $f(x)=\frac{1}{x}-N \Rightarrow f\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{x_{n}}-N, f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=-\frac{1}{x_{n}{ }^{2}}$

By Newton Raphson method,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-\frac{\frac{1}{x_{n}}-N}{-\frac{1}{x_{n}^{2}}} & =x_{n}+x_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{x_{n}}-N\right) \\
& =x_{n}\left(2-N x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7. Derive Newton's formula to find the cube root of a positive number $k$. Solution:

Let $\mathrm{x}=\sqrt[3]{k}$
$\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{3}-\mathrm{k} \Rightarrow f\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n}{ }^{3}-k, f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=3 x_{n}{ }^{2}$
By Newton Raphson method,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} & =x_{n}-\frac{x_{n}^{3}-k}{3 x_{n}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 x_{n}^{3}+k}{3 x_{n}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left(2 x_{n}+\frac{k}{x_{n}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 8. State the principle used in Gauss-Jordan method.

## Solution:

In the equation $\mathrm{AX}=\mathrm{B}$, the matrix A is transformed into an identity matrix.
9. Give the sufficient condition of convergence of Gauss Seidel method (or) Gauss Jacobi method.

## Solution:

The absolute value of the leading diagonal element is greater than the sum of the absolute values of the other elements in that row, which is called diagonally dominant.
10. Write the conditions for convergence in Gauss Seidel iterative technique. (or) When the method of iteration will be useful?

## Solution:

The coefficient matrix should be diagonally dominant.

## 11. State Gauss Seidel method.

## Solution:

As soon as a new value for a variable is found by iteration it is used immediately in the following equations. This method is called Gauss Seidel method.

## 12. Why Gauss Seidel method is a better method than Jacobi's <br> iterative method.

## Solution:

Since the immediately calculated values in Gauss Seidel of the unknowns are used, the convergence in Gauss Seidel method will be more rapid than in Gauss Jacobi method. The rate of convergence of Gauss Seidel method is roughly twice that of Gauss Jacobi method.
13. Write the first iteration values of $x, y, z$ when the equations $7 x+6 y-z=85$, $\mathbf{6 x}+15 \mathrm{y}+2 \mathrm{z}=72, \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+5 \mathrm{z}=110$ are solved by Gauss Seidel method.

Solution:
Here the coefficient matrix is diagonally dominant. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}=\frac{1}{27}(85-6 y+z) \ldots \ldots . .(1) \\
& \mathrm{y}=\frac{1}{15}(72-6 x-2 z) \ldots \ldots(2) \\
& \mathrm{z}=\frac{1}{5}(110-x-y) \ldots \ldots .(3)
\end{aligned}
$$

## First Iteration

Put $\mathrm{y}=0, \mathrm{z}=0$ in (1), we get $\mathrm{x}=3.148$
Put $x=3.148, z=0$ in (2), we get $y=3.451$
Put $x=3.148, y=3.451$ in (3), we get $z=20.662$
14. Distinguish between direct and iteration method of solving simultaneous equations. Solution:

## Direct method

i) We get exact solution
ii) Simple, take less time

## Iterative method

i) Approximate solution
ii) Time consuming laborious.
15. Compare Gauss Elimination and Gauss Jordan methods for solving linear systems of the form $A X=B$.

## Solution:

In Gauss Elimination method, the coefficient matrix reduced to upper triangular matrix and we get the solution by back substitution whereas in Gauss Jordan method, the coefficient matrix reduces to an unit or identity matrix and we get the solution without using back substitution.
16. Solve $3 x+y=2, x+3 y=-2$ by Gauss Seidel iteration method.

Solution:
In sixth iteration, we get $\mathrm{x}=1, \mathrm{y}=-1$.
17. Find the dominant eigen value of $\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4\end{array}\right]$ by power method.

Solution:
Dominant eigen value $=5.3722$
18. On what type of equations Newton's method can be applicable?

## Solution:

Newton's method can be applicable to the solution of both algebraic and transcendental equation and can be also used when the roots are complex.
19. By Gauss elimination method solve $x+y=2$ and $2 x+3 y=5$.

## Solution:

The augmented matrix is

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}] } & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 5
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] R_{2}=R_{2}-2 R_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By back substitution, $x+y=2$----(1)

$$
y=1
$$

(1) becomes, $x+1=2$
$x=1$
Hence $\mathrm{x}=1, \mathrm{y}=1$.

## 20. Why Gauss Seidel iteration is a method of successive corrections? <br> Solution:

Because we replace approximations by corresponding new ones as soon the latter have been computed.

## 21. What are the merits of Newton's method of iteration?

Solution:
Newton's method is successfully used to improve the result obtained by other methods. It is applicable to the solution of equations involving algebraical functions as well as transcendental functions.

## 22. Give two direct methods to solve a system of linear equations. Solution:

Gauss Elimination method and Gauss Jordan method.
23. Compare Gauss Elimination with Gauss Seidel method. (DEC 2012) Solution:

## Gauss Elimination

i.Direct method
ii. Used to find inverse of equations only
iii. Diagonally dominant condition is insisted.

## Gauss Seidel

i. Indirect method
ii. Used to solve system of the matrix also.
iii. Diagonally dominant condition is not insisted.
24. Find inverse of $\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4\end{array}\right]$ by Gauss Jordan method.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{AI} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
3 & 4
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
7 & -3 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \text { Hence } \mathrm{A}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
7 & -3 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

25. Mention the order and condition for the convergence of Newton-Raphson method.
(DEC 2012).
26. Find the real positive root of $3 x-\cos x-1=0$ by Newton's method correct to 6 decimal places. (MAY 2012).
27. Solve the equations $A+B+C=6,3 A+3 B+4 C=20,2 A+B+3 C=13$ using Guass elimination method. (MAY 2012).
28. Perform four iterations of Newton - Raphson method to find the smallest positive root of the equation of $f(x)=x^{3}-5 x+1=0$
29. Solve the equations $10 x-y+2 z=2 ; x+10 y-z=3 ; 2 x+3 y+10 z=7$ using Gauss elimination method
PART - B
30. Solve $x \log _{10} x=12.34$ with $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{1 0}$ using Newton's method. (MAY 2011)
31. Find the negative root of the equation $\sin x=1+x^{3}$ by using Newton Raphson method.
32. Solve the following equation by Gauss Elimination method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 x-2 y+3 z=23 \\
& 2 x+10 y-5 z=-33 \\
& 3 x-4 y+10 z=41
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Solve the equation by Gauss Jordan method :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 x_{1}+x_{2}+4 x_{3}=4 \\
& x_{1}-3 x_{2}-x_{3}=-5 \\
& 3 x_{1}-2 x_{2}+2 x_{3}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Find the inverse of $\left[\begin{array}{lll}2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 5\end{array}\right]$ using Gauss Jordan method. (MAY 2011)
6. Find the inverse of $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & -1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ using Gauss Jordan method.
7. Solve by Gauss Jacobi method :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+y+54 z=110 \\
& 27 x+6 y-z=85 \text { (MAY 2011) } \\
& 6 x+15 y+2 z=72
\end{aligned}
$$

8. Solve by Gauss Siedel method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+y+54 z=110 \\
& 27 x+6 y-z=85 \\
& 6 x+15 y+2 z=72 \\
& \text { (DEC 2012) }
\end{aligned}
$$

9. Solve by Gauss Siedel method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 x+2 y+z=9 \\
& x+10 y-z=-22 \\
& -2 x+3 y+10 z=22
\end{aligned}
$$

10. Find the dominant (largest) eigen value and the corresponding eigen

$$
\text { vector of } \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -3 & 2 \\
4 & 4 & -1 \\
6 & 3 & 5
\end{array}\right] \text { by power method. (DEC 2010) }
$$

11. Find the dominant eigen value and the corresponding eigen vector of $\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right]$ by power method. Find also the least latent root (smallest eigen value) and hence the third eigen value.
12. Find the numerically largest eigen value of $\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}25 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -4\end{array}\right]$ by power method and the corresponding eigen vector. (MAY 2009)
13. Find the largest eigen value and eigen vector of the matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 7\end{array}\right]$ by power method.
14. Solve by Gauss Jacobi iteration method correct to 2 decimal places.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 x+y-z=11.19 \\
& x+10 y+z=28.08 \\
& -x+y+10 z=35.61
\end{aligned}
$$

(MAY 2009)
15. Solve the following system using Gauss elimination method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}+2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}+4 x_{4}=10 \\
& 7 x_{1}+10 x_{2}+5 x_{3}+2 x_{4}=40 \\
& 13 x_{1}+6 x_{2}+2 x_{3}-3 x_{4}=34 \\
& 11 x_{1}+14 x_{2}+8 x_{3}-x_{4}=64
\end{aligned}
$$

16. Solve the following system using Gauss Jordan method.
$2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{4}=4,4 x_{1}+3 x_{2}-x_{3}+2 x_{4}=6,8 x_{1}+5 x_{2}-3 x_{3}+4 x_{4}=12$
$3 x_{1}+3 x_{2}-2 x_{3}+2 x_{4}=6$
17. Find the negative root of the equation $x^{2}+4 \sin x=0$ by Newton's method.
18. Solve by Gauss Seidel iteration the given system of equations starting with ( $0,0,0,0$ ) as solution. Do 5 iterations only
$4 x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}=2,-x_{1}+4 x_{2}-x_{4}=2,-x_{1}+4 x_{3}-x_{4}=1,-x_{2}-x_{3}+4 x_{4}=1$
(DEC 2010)
19. Find the dominant eigen value of
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 3 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 4 & 10\end{array}\right]$ by power method. (DEC 2012)
20. If $\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 3 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 4 & 10\end{array}\right]$, find $A^{-1}$ by Gauss-Jordan method. (DEC 2012)
21. Solve the following system using Gauss elimination method.
$x+y+z=9,2 x-3 y+4 z=13,3 x+4 y+5 z=40$ (DEC 2012)
22. Solve by Gauss elimination method.
$3 x+4 y+5 z=18,2 x-y+8 z=13,5 x-2 y+7 z=20$ (MAY 2013)
23. Solve by Gauss-Seidal method the following system

$$
28 x+4 y-z=32, x+3 y+10 z=24,2 x+17 y+4 z=35(\text { MAY 2013 ) }
$$

24. Solve the system of equations by Gauss-Jordan method $x+y+z+w=1,2 x-3 y+2 z-w=-5,3 x+2 y+3 z+4 w=7, x-2 y-3 z+2 w=5$ (MAY 2013)
25. Using power method, find all the eigen values of $\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}5 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 5\end{array}\right]$ (MAY 2013)
26. Given $f(2)=5, f(2.2)=5.5$ find the linear interpolating polynomial using lagrange interpolation.

## UNIT - IV

## INTERPOLATION, NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION \& INTEGRATION

## PART - A

1. The $\mathbf{n}^{\text {th }}$ order difference of a polynomial of $\mathbf{n}^{\text {th }}$ degree is $\qquad$ and $(\mathrm{n}+1)^{\text {th }}$ order of the same degree is $\qquad$ Solution:
Constant and zero.
2. Define the operators $E$ and $\Delta$ and show that $E=1+\Delta$. Solution:
$\operatorname{Ef}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h})$ and $\Delta \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$
We have $\Delta f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{Ef}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \\
& =(\mathrm{E}-1) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \\
\Delta & =\mathrm{E}-1 \text { (or) } \mathrm{E}=1+\Delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. What is the relation between the shift operator $E$ and the backward difference operator $\nabla$ ?
Solution:

$$
\nabla=1-\mathrm{E}^{-1}
$$

4. What will be the first difference of a polynomial of degree

## Solution:

We get a polynomial of degree 3 .
5. $\nabla+\mathbf{E}^{-1}=$ $\qquad$
Solution:

$$
\nabla+\mathrm{E}^{-1}=1-\mathrm{E}^{-1}+\mathrm{E}^{-1}=1
$$

6. Given $u_{0}=1, u_{1}=11, u_{2}=21, u_{3}=28, u_{4}=29$. Find $\Delta^{4} u_{0}$.

## Solution:

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 10 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | 21 | 10 | -3 | -3 | 0 |
| 3 | 28 | 7 | -6 | -3 |  |
| 4 | 29 | 1 |  |  |  |

Hence $\Delta^{4} u_{0}=0$.
7. If $u_{1}=1, u_{3}=17, u_{4}=43, u_{5}=89$. Find the value of $\mathbf{u}_{2}$.

## Solution:

Let the missing term be $\mathrm{y}_{1}$.

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}-1$ | $-2 \mathrm{y}_{1}+18$ |  |  |
| 3 | 17 | $17-\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}-43$ | $3 \mathrm{y}_{1}-61$ | $-4 \mathrm{y}_{1}+124$ |
| 4 | 43 | 26 | 20 | $-\mathrm{y}_{1}+63$ |  |
| 5 | 89 | 46 |  |  |  |

By assumption, we have $-4 \mathrm{y}_{1}+124=0, \quad \mathrm{y}_{1}=31$.

## 8. Define interpolation and extrapolation?

Solution:
The process of computing the value of a function inside the given range is called interpolation. The process of computing the value of a function outside the given range is called extrapolation.
9. State Newton's formula on interpolation. When it is used?

## Solution:

Newton's forward interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& \text { where } u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

This formula is used mainly for interpolating the values of y near the beginning of a set of tabular values. Newton's backward interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{n}+u \nabla y_{n}+\frac{u(u+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{u(u+1)(u+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+. \\
& \quad \text { where } u=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

This formula is used mainly for interpolating the values of $y$ near the end of a set of tabular values.

## 10. State Newton's divided difference formula.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{0}+\left(x-x_{0}\right) \Delta y_{0}+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \Delta^{2} y_{0} \\
& +\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## 11. State Lagrange's interpolation formula

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y=f(x) & =\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(x_{0}-x_{n}\right)} y_{0} \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(x_{1}-x_{n}\right)} y_{1} \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(x_{2}-x_{n}\right)} y_{2} \\
& +\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .+ \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(x-x_{n-1}\right)}{\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)} y_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

12. What advantage has Lagrange's formula over Newton?

Solution:
Newton's formula can be used only when the values of the Independent variable x are equally spaced. But Lagrange's interpolation formula can be used whether the values of the independent variable x are equally spaced or not. Lagrange's formula can be used for inverse interpolation also, while Newton's formula cannot be used.

## 13. What is the disadvantage in practice in applying Lagrange's interpolation

## formula?

Solution:
It requires close attention to sign and there is always a chance of committing some error due to a number of positive and negative signs in the numerator and denominator.

14 What is the difference between Newton divided difference Formula and Lagrange's formula.

Solution:
Lagrange's formula is merely a variant of Newton divided difference formula.

## 15. What is inverse interpolation?

## Solution:

It is the process of finding the values of x corresponding to a value of $y$, not present in the table.
16. What is the Lagrange's formula to find $y$ if three sets of values $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)$ are given?

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=f(x)=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)} y_{1} \\
&+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)} y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

17. Construct a linear interpolating polynomial given the point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$.

Solution:

$$
y=f(x)=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)} y_{1}=\frac{\left(y_{0}-y_{1}\right) x+\left(x_{0} y_{1}-x_{1} y_{0}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{1}}
$$

18. Write the Lagrange's formula for inverse interpolation. Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=f(y)=\frac{\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{0}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots\left(y_{0}-y_{n}\right)} x_{0} \\
&+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(y_{1}-y_{n}\right)} x_{1} \\
&+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{2}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(y_{2}-y_{n}\right)} x_{2} \\
&+\frac{(y-\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .+}{\left(y_{n}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{3}\right) \ldots \ldots .\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right)} x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

19. Find the quadratic polynomial that fits $y(x)=x^{4}$ at $x=0,1,2$.

## Solution:

The following data is

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{x}: & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}^{4}: & 0 & 1 & 16
\end{array}
$$

By Lagrange's formula

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y=f(x)=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)} y_{1} \\
+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)} y_{2}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=f(x)=\frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{(0-1)(0-2)} \cdot 0+\frac{(x-0)(x-2)}{(1-0)(1-2)} \cdot 1 \\
& +\frac{(x-0)(x-1)}{(2-0)(2-1)} \cdot 16 \\
& y=-x(x-2)+8 x(x-1) \\
& y(x)=7 \mathrm{x}^{2}-6 \mathrm{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

20. Use Lagrange's formula to find the quadratic polynomial that takes these values

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}: \\
& \mathbf{y} \\
& \mathbf{y}: \\
& \mathbf{0} \\
& \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then find $y(2)$.

## Solution:

By Lagrange's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
y=f(x)=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)} y_{1} \\
\\
\qquad \quad+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)} y_{2}
\end{array} \\
y=f(x)=\frac{(x-1)(x-3)}{(0-1)(0-3)} \cdot 0+\frac{(x-0)(x-3)}{(1-0)(1-3)} \cdot 1+\frac{(x-0)(x-1)}{(3-0)(3-1)} \cdot 0 \\
y(\mathrm{x})=\frac{x^{2}-3 x}{-2}
\end{array} \\
& \text { Hence } \mathrm{y}(2)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

21. Obtain the interpolation quadratic polynomial for the given data by using Newton forward difference formula

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
X: & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\
Y: & -3 & 5 & 21 & 45
\end{array}
$$

## Solution:

The difference table is

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -3 | 8 | 8 |  |
| 2 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 0 |
| 4 | 21 | 24 |  |  |
| 6 | 45 |  |  |  |

Newton Forward Interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
& \quad \text { where } u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{x-0}{2}=\frac{x}{2} \\
y= & -3+(x / 2)(8)+\frac{(x / 2)(x / 2-1)}{2!}(8)+0 \\
y= & -3+4 x+x(x-2) \\
y= & x^{2}+2 x-3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

22. Show that the divided differences are symmetrical in their arguments.

Solution:

$$
f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{0}}=\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{1}}=f\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)
$$

23. What is the nature of nth divided differences of a polynomial of nth degree?

## Solution:

The nth divided differences of a polynomial of nth degree is constant.
24. Find the second divided differences with arguments $a, b, c$ if $f(x)=1 / x$. Solution:
The divided difference table is

| x | $\mathrm{y}=1 / \mathrm{x}$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $1 / \mathrm{a}$ | $-1 / \mathrm{ab}$ | $1 / \mathrm{abc}$ |
| b | $1 / \mathrm{b}$ | $-1 / \mathrm{bc}$ |  |
| c | $1 / \mathrm{c}$ |  |  |

25.If $f(x)=1 / x^{2}$, find $f(a, b)$ and $f(a, b, c)$ by using divided differences.

## Solution:

The divided difference table is

| x | $\mathrm{y}=1 / \mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $1 / \mathrm{a}^{2}$ |  |  |
| b | $1 / \mathrm{b}^{2}$ | $-(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) / \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2}$ | $(\mathrm{ab}+\mathrm{bc}+\mathrm{ca}) / \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{2}$ |
| c | $1 / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ | $-(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}) / \mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{2}$ |  |

26.Using Lagrange's formula, find the polynomial through (0,0),(1,1),(2,2).

Solution:
Polynomial is $-x^{2}+2 x+x^{2}-x=x$ (Solve it)
27. By differentiating Newton forward and backward difference formula, find the first derivative of the function $f(x)$.

## Solution:

Newton forward interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\
\text { where } u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h} \\
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d u} \cdot \frac{d u}{d x} \\
=\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}+\frac{2 u-1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{3 u^{2}-6 u+2}{6} \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{2 u^{3}-9 u^{2}+11 u-3}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{0}+\ldots \ldots .\right] \\
\text { Newton backward interpolation formula is }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{n}+u \nabla y_{n}+\frac{u(u+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{u(u+1)(u+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& \text { where } u=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h} \\
& \frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d u} \cdot \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& =\frac{1}{h}\left[\nabla y_{n}+\frac{2 u+1}{2} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{3 u^{2}+6 u+2}{6} \nabla^{3} y_{n}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 u^{3}+9 u^{2}+11 u+3}{12} \nabla^{4} y_{n}+\ldots \ldots .\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

28. Using Newton's forward and backward difference formula, write the formula for the first and second derivative at the starting value $x=x_{0}$ and at the end value $x=x_{n}$ upto the fourth order difference term?

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)_{x=x_{0}}=\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} y_{0}-\frac{1}{4} \Delta^{4} y_{0}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .\right] \\
& \left(\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}\right)_{x=x_{0}}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{11}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{0}-\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .\right] \\
& \left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)_{x=x_{n}}=\frac{1}{h}\left[\nabla y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{1}{3} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\frac{1}{4} \nabla^{4} y_{n}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .\right] \\
& \left(\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}\right)_{x=x_{n}}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\nabla^{2} y_{n}+\nabla^{3} y_{n}+\frac{11}{12} \nabla^{4} y_{n}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . .\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

29. Find the error in the derivative of $f(x)=\cos x$ by computing directly and using the approximation $f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f(x+h)-f(x-h)}{2 h}$ at $\mathbf{x}=0.8$ choosing $\mathbf{h}=0.1$

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\cos x \Rightarrow f^{\prime}(x)=-\sin x \Rightarrow f^{\prime}(0.8)=-0.717 \\
& f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f(x+h)-f(x-h)}{2 h} \\
& f^{\prime}(0.8)=\frac{f(0.8+0.1)-f(0.8-0.1)}{2(0.1)} \\
& = \\
& =\frac{f(0.9)-f(0.7)}{0.2} \\
& \\
& =\frac{\cos (0.9)-\cos (0.7)}{0.2} \\
& =-0.716
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Error }=-0.001
$$

## 30. When can numerical differentiation be used?

## Solution:

When the function is given in the form of table of values instead of giving analytical expression we use numerical differentiation.
31. If $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{x}}(a \neq 0)$ is given for $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0 , 0 . 5}, \mathbf{1}$. Show by numerical differentiation that $f^{\prime}(0)=4 \sqrt{a}-a-3$.

## Solution:

For $\mathrm{x}=0,0.5,1$, the values of $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{x}}$ are $\mathrm{a}^{0}, a^{0.5}, \mathrm{a}^{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\hline \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{x}} \\
\hline 0.5 y & \Delta^{2} y \\
\hline 0 & 1 \\
\text { (i.e.) } 1, \sqrt{a} \\
0.5 & \sqrt{a} \\
1 & \mathrm{a} \\
\hline\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)_{x=0} & =\mathrm{a}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\ldots . . . .\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{0.5}\left[\sqrt{a}-1-\frac{1}{2}(a-2 \sqrt{a}+1)\right] \\
& =2\left[2 \sqrt{a}-\frac{a}{2}-\frac{3}{2}\right] \\
& =4 \sqrt{a}-a-3 .
\end{array}
$$

32. Write down the Newton - cotes quadrature formula.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} f(x) d x=h\left\{n y_{0}+\frac{n^{2}}{2} \Delta y_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n^{3}}{3}-\frac{n^{2}}{2}\right) \Delta^{2} y_{0}\right. \\
+ & \left.\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{n^{4}}{4}-n^{3}+n^{2}\right) \Delta^{3} y_{o}+\ldots \ldots .\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

33. What is the geometrical interpretation of Trapezoidal rule?

Solution:
We are finding the area of the curve enclosed by $y=f(x)$, the $X$-axis, the ordinates $x=$ $a$ and $x=b$ by using the area of trapezium.
34. Using Trapezoidal rule evaluate $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x$ by dividing the range into 6 equal parts.

## Solution:

$$
h=\frac{\pi-0}{6}=\frac{\pi}{6}
$$

When $\mathrm{h}=\frac{\pi}{6}$, the values of $\mathrm{y}=\sin \mathrm{x}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{rlcccccc}
\mathrm{x}: & 0 & \frac{\pi}{6} & \frac{2 \pi}{6} & \frac{3 \pi}{6} & \frac{4 \pi}{6} & \frac{5 \pi}{6} & \pi \\
\mathrm{y}=\sin \mathrm{x}: & 0 & 0.5 & .8660 & 1 & .8660 & 0.5 & 0
\end{array}
$$

Trapezoidal rule is

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x & d x=\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+\ldots \ldots . y_{n-1}\right]\right. \\
& =\frac{\pi}{6(2)}[(0+0)+2(0.5+0.8660+1+0.8660+0.5)] \\
& =0.9770
\end{array}
$$

35. Write down the Trapezoidal rule to evaluate $\int_{1}^{6} f(x) d x$ with $h=0.5$ Solution:
Trapezoidal rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1}^{6} f(x) d x & =\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+\ldots \ldots . y_{n-1}\right]\right. \\
& =\frac{0.5}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{10}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+\ldots \ldots . y_{9}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## 36. Why is Trapezoidal rule so called?

## Solution:

The Trapezoidal rule is so called, because it approximates the integral by the sum of n trapezoids.

## 38. How the accuracy can be increased in Trapezoidal rule of evaluating a given definite integral?

## Solution:

If the number of points of the base segment $b-a$, ( the range of integration) is increased, a better approximation to the area given by the definite integral will be obtained.
39. When does Simpson's rule or Simpson's one-third rule give exact result? Solution:
Simpson's rule will give exact result, if the entire curve $y=f(x)$ is itself a parabola.
40. What are the truncation errors in Trapezoidal and Simpson's rules of numerical integration?

Solution:

Error in the Trapezoidal rule is $-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(\theta)$. Error in the Trapezoidal rule is of the order $\mathrm{h}^{2}$. Error in the Simpson's one-third rule is $-\frac{h^{5}}{90} f^{I V}(\theta)$. Error in the Simpson's one-third rule is of the order $\mathrm{h}^{4}$.
41. Can you use Simpson's rule for the following data:

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrccr}
\mathbf{x}: & 7.47 & 7.48 & 7.49 & 7.50 & 7.51 & 7.52 \\
\mathbf{f ( x )}: & 1.93 & 1.95 & 1.98 & 2.01 & 2.03 & 2.06 \\
\text { Why? }
\end{array}
$$

## Solution:

We cannot use Simpson's rule, since the number of ordinates is 6 (even).
42. Why Simpson's one-third rule is called a closed formula.

## Solution:

Since the end point ordinates $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are included in the Simpson's one-third rule, it is called closed formula.
43. Using Simpson's rule find $\int_{0}^{4} e^{x} d x$ given $\mathrm{e}^{0}=1, \mathrm{e}^{1}=2.72, \mathrm{e}^{2}=7.39$, $\mathrm{e}^{3}=20.09, \mathrm{e}^{4}=54.6$

## Solution:

The following data is

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}:$ | 1 | 2.72 | 7.39 | 20.09 | 54.6 |

Simpson's $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ rule is

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} f(x) d x=
$$

$$
\frac{h}{3}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}+\ldots \ldots \ldots\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{6}+\ldots \ldots \ldots . . \ldots \ldots \ldots\right)\right]
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{4} e^{x} d x=\frac{1}{3}[(1+54.6)+4(2.72+20.09)+2(7.39)]
$$

$$
=53.8733
$$

44. Compare Trapezoidal rule and Simpson's $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ rule for evaluating numerical integration.

## Solution:

i) In Newton Cotes Quadrature formula, if we put $\mathrm{n}=1$ we get Trapezoidal rule whereas if we put $n=2$, we get Simpson's $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ rule.
ii) In Trapezoidal rule, the interpolating polynomial is linear whereas in Simpson's $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ rule, the interpolating polynomial is of degree 2 .
iii) In Trapezoidal rule, there is no restriction on the number of intervals whereas in Simpson's $1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ rule, the number of intervals should be even.
45. What is the need of Newton's and Lagrange's interpolation formulae?. (DEC 2012)
46. Use Lagrange's formula to fit a polynomial to the data and find $y$ at $x=1$

| x | $:$ | -1 | 0 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | $\mathbf{:}$ | -8 | 3 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 12 |  |  |  |

(MAY 2012)
47. Show that the divided difference of second order can be expressed as the quotient of two determinants of third order. (MAY 2012).
48. Find the area under the curve passing through the points $(0,0),(1,2),(2,2.5)$, $(3,2.3), \quad(4,2),(5,1.7)$ and $(6,1.5)$. (MAY 2012).

## $\underline{\text { PART - B }}$

1. Using Newton's Forward Interpolation formula find the polynomial $f(x)$ satisfying the following data. Hence find $f(2)$.

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x)$ | $:$ | 14 | 379 | 1444 | 3584 (MAY 2009) |

2. Construct Newton's forward interpolation polynomial for the following data.

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{y}:$ | 1 | 3 | 8 | 16 |

Use it to find the value of $y$ for $x=5$. (MAY 2011)
3. Given
$\sin 45^{\circ}=0.7071, \sin 50^{\circ}=0.7660, \sin 55^{\circ}=0.8192, \sin 60^{\circ}=0.8660$
Find $\sin 52^{\mathbf{0}}$ by Newton's formula.
4. Given x:0 $0.1 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.3 \quad 0.4$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}: 1 & 1.1052 & 1.2214 & 1.3499 & 1.4918\end{array}$
Find the value of $y=e^{x}$ when $x=0.38$.
5. The following data are taken from the steam table
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Temp }{ }^{0} \text { c : } & 140 & 150 & 160 & 170 & 180\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Pressure } \mathrm{kg} & \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}: & 3.685 & 4.854 & 6.302 & 8.076 \\ \mathbf{1 0} & \mathbf{1 0 . 2 2 5}\end{array}$
Find the pressure at temperature $t=\mathbf{1 7 5}^{\mathbf{0}}$.
6. From the following data, estimate the number of persons earning weekly wages between 60 and 70 rupees.
Wage Below 40 $40-60 \quad 60-80 \quad 80-100 \quad 100-120$ (in Rs.)
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { No. of person } & 250 & 120 & 100 & 70 & 50\end{array}$ (in thousands)
7. Use Newton Backward formula to construct an interpolating polynomial of degree 3 for the data : $f(-0.75)=-0.07181250, f(-0.5)=-0.024750$,
$f(-0.25)=0.33493750, f(0)=1.10100$ Hence find $f\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) .($ DEC 2010 $)$
8. Using Lagrange's interpolation formula calculate the profit in the year $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ from the following data

Year : 1997199920012002
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Profit in lakhs } \\ \text { of Rs. }\end{array}\right\}: 43 \quad 65 \quad 159 \quad 248$
9. Give the values $x$ : $14 \quad 17 \quad 31 \quad 35$

$$
f(x): \begin{array}{llll}
68.7 & 64.0 & 44.0 & 39.1
\end{array}
$$

Find the value of $f(x)$ when $x=27$. (MAY 2011)
10. Using Lagrange's interpolation formula fit a polynomial to the following data

$$
\begin{array}{lcccr}
\mathrm{x}: & -1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\
\mathrm{y}: & -8 & 3 & 1 & 12
\end{array}
$$

and hence find $y$ at $x=1.5$ (MAY 2009)
11. Given $\log _{10} 654=2.8156, \log _{10} 658=2.8182$, $\log _{10} 659=2.8189, \log _{10} 661=2.8202$. Find $\log _{10} 656$ by using Lagrange's formula.
12. Find the polynomial $f(x)$ by using Lagrange's formula and hence find $f(3)$ for

$$
\begin{array}{rcccc}
\mathrm{x}: & 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) & : & 2 & 3 & 12
\end{array}
$$

13. Using Lagrange's formula, Prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{-1}\right)-\frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{-3}-y_{-1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}-y_{3}\right)\right] \tag{DEC2010}
\end{equation*}
$$

14. Applying Lagrange's formula to find the roots of the equation $f(x)=0$ when $f(30)$ $=-30, f(34)=-13, f(38)=3, f(42)=18$. (MAY 2011)
15. Using Newton divided difference formula find $u(3)$ given $\mathbf{u}(1)=-26, \mathbf{u}(2)=12, \mathbf{u}(4)=256, \mathbf{u}(6)=844$.
16. Using Newton divided difference method find $f(1.5)$ using the data
$\mathrm{f}(1.0)=0.7651977, \mathrm{f}(\mathbf{1 . 3})=0.6200860, f(1.6)=0.4554022$,
$\mathrm{f}(1.9)=0.2818186, f(2.2)=0.1103623 .($ DEC 2010 $)$
17. Given $u_{0}=-4, u_{1}=-2, u_{4}=220, u_{5}=546, u_{6}=1148$

Find $u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$.
18. From the following table, find the value of $\tan 45^{\circ} 15$ ' by Newton's Forward Interpolation formula.

| $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{o}}:$ | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tan \mathrm{x}^{0}:$ | 1 | 1.03553 | 1.07237 | 1.11061 | 1.15037 | 1.19175 |

19. From the given table, the values of $y$ are consecutive terms of a series of which 23.6 is the sixth term. Find the first and tenth terms of the series.

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}:$ | 4.8 | 8.4 | 14.5 | 23.6 | 36.2 | 52.8 | 73.9 |

20. Find $f^{\prime}(3)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(3)$ for the following data:

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}):$ | -14 | -10.032 | -5.296 | -0.256 | $\mathbf{6 . 6 7 2}$ | 14 |

21. The following data gives the velocity of a particle for 20 seconds at an interval of 5 seconds. Find the initial acceleration using the entire data
time (sec.) : $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 5 & 10 & 15 & 20\end{array}$ velocity (m/sec.) : $0 \begin{array}{llllll} & 3 & 14 & 69 & 228\end{array}$
22. Find $\frac{d \theta}{d t}$ at $t=3$ and $t=8$ given

| $\mathrm{t}:$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\theta:$ | 85.3 | 74.5 | 67 | 60.5 | 54.3 (DEC 2010) |

23. Find $y^{\prime}(1)$ from the following data

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}:$ | 4 | 26 | 58 | 112 | 466 | 922 |

(DEC 2012)
24. Find the value of sec $31^{0}$ from the following data :

| $\theta(\operatorname{deg}):$ | $31^{0}$ | $32^{0}$ | $33^{0}$ | $34^{0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tan \theta$ | $:$ | 0.6008 | 0.6249 | 0.6494 | 0.6745 (MAY 2009) |

25. Consider the following table of data :

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}):$ | 0.9798652 | 0.9177710 | 0.8080348 | 0.6386093 | 0.3843735 |

Find $f^{\prime}(0.25)$ using Newton Forward interpolation formula and $f^{\prime}(0.95)$ using Newton Backward interpolation formula.
26. Find the maximum and minimum value of $y$ tabulated below

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}:$ | 2 | -0.25 | 0 | -0.25 | 2 | 15.75 | 56 |
| (MAY 2011) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

27. Using Trapezoidal rule, evaluate $\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ taking 8 intervals.
28. Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} d x$ by dividing the range of integration into four equal parts using Trapezoidal rule.
29. Find an approximate value of $\log _{\mathrm{e}} 5$ by calculating to four decimal places by Simpson's rule the integral $\int_{0}^{5} \frac{d x}{4 x+5}$ dividing the range into 10 equal parts.
30. Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ take $h=0.125$. Hence find $\pi$ using Simpson's rule.
31. Evaluate $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x$ by trapezoidal and Simpsons's (1/3) rules by dividing the range into 10 equal parts.(DEC 2012)
32. Evaluate $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x$ by trapezoidal and Simpsons's rules by dividing the range into 10 equal parts Verify your answer with integration. (MAY 2013)
33. Using Newton divided difference method find the values of $f(2), f(8)$ and $f(15)$ given the following table

| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 13 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}):$ | 48 | 100 | 294 | 900 | 1210 | 2028 | (MAY 2013) |

34. The population of a certain town is given below. Find the rate of growth of the population in 1931, 1941, 1951, 1961, and 1971.
Year x: $1931 \quad 1941195119611971$
Population in thousands (y): $40.6260 .80 \quad 79.95 \quad 103.56132 .65$ (MAY 2013)
35. If $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{x}$, show that $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1, \ldots . .} x_{n}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{r}}$.
(MAY 2013)
36. Find the cubic polynomial $y(x)$ for

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{x} & : & -1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\
\mathrm{y} & : & -8 & 3 & 1 & 12
\end{array} \text { (DEC 2012) }
$$

37. Find $y(22)$, given that

$$
\begin{array}{l:lllllll}
\mathrm{x} & : & 20 & 25 & 30 & 35 & 40 & 45 \\
\mathrm{y} & : & 354 & 332 & 291 & 260 & 231 & 204 \\
\text { (DEC 2012) }
\end{array}
$$

## UNIT V

## NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## $\underline{\text { PART - A }}$

1. What are the merits and demerits of the Taylor series method. (DEC 2012).

## Solution:

Taylor method is very powerful if we can calculate the successive derivative of $y$ in an easy manner. If there is a simple expression for the higher derivatives in terms of the previous derivatives of $y$, Taylor's method will work very well.But in the differential
equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y)$, the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ may have a complicated algebraical structure. Then the evaluation of higher order derivatives may become tedious. This is the demerit of this method.
2. Taylor series method will be very useful to give some $\qquad$ for powerful numerical methods such as Runge kutta method, Milne's method, etc.

## Solution:

Initial starting values.
3. The use of Taylor series to solve numerically, differential equations is restricted by the labour involved in the determination of $\qquad$ .

## Solution:

Higher order derivatives.
4. Solve the differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y+x y, \mathbf{y}(0)=1$ by Taylor series method to get the value of $y$ at $x=h$.

## Solution:

Given

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y^{\prime}=x+y+x y \\
x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1 & \\
y^{\prime}=x+y+x y & y_{0}^{\prime}=0+1+0=1 \\
y^{\prime \prime}=1+y^{\prime}+x y^{\prime}+y & y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=1+1+0+1=3 \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+y^{\prime} & y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=3+1+0+1=5 \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}=y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y^{\prime \prime} & y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=5+6+0+3=14
\end{array}
$$

Taylor's series is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(x)=y_{0}+\left(x-x_{0}\right) y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}}{3!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{4}}{4!} y^{i v}+\ldots \ldots \\
& y(h)=1+(h-0)(1)+\frac{(h-0)^{2}}{2}(3)+\frac{(h-0)^{3}}{6}(5) \\
& +\frac{(h-0)^{4}}{24}(14)+\ldots \ldots . \\
& y(h)=1+h+\frac{3}{2} h^{2}+\frac{5}{6} h^{3}+\frac{7}{12} h^{4}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. State the disadvantage of Taylor series method?

## Solution:

The disadvantage of Taylor series method is the evaluation of higher order derivative which may become tedious for the function which have a complicated algebraical structure.
6. Name the method which is Taylor's method of first order.

## Solution:

Euler's method.
7. Taylor series and Runge kutta method are single step methods while and $\qquad$ are multistep methods.
Solution:
Milne's predictor and corrector method and Adam-Bashforth predictor and corrector method.
8. Which formula is a particular case of Runge kutta formula of the second order.

## Solution:

Euler's modified formula.
9. Compute $\mathbf{y}$ at $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} .25$ by modified Euler's method given

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}+y^{2}, y(0)=1
$$

(DEC 2012)

## Solution:

Given $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}, \mathrm{x}_{0}=0, \mathrm{y}_{0}=1, \mathrm{~h}=0.1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left\{f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f\left[x_{0}+h, y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right]\right\}\right. \\
& f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{2}+y_{0}^{2}=0+1=1 \\
& y_{1}=1+\frac{0.1}{2}\{1+f[0+0.1,1+0.1(1)]\} \\
& y_{1}=1+\frac{0.1}{2}\{1+f[0.1,1.1]\} \\
& y_{1}=1+\frac{0.1}{2}\{1+1.22\} \\
& y_{1}=1.111
\end{aligned}
$$

10. Write down the formula to solve second order differential equation using Runge kutta method of $4^{\text {th }}$ order.

## Solution:

The second order differential equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=g\left(x, y, \frac{d y}{d x}\right) \\
& \text { put } \frac{d y}{d x}=z \cdot------(1) \\
& \text { then } \frac{d z}{d x}=g(x, y, z)----- \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (1) and (2) can be solved using the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \\
& k_{2}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}, z_{0}+\frac{l_{1}}{2}\right) \\
& k_{3}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}, z_{0}+\frac{l_{2}}{2}\right) \\
& k_{4}=h f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{3}, z_{0}+l_{3}\right) \\
& \Delta y=\frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right) \\
& y_{1}=y_{0}+\Delta y \\
& l_{1}=h g\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \\
& l_{2}=h g\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}, z_{0}+\frac{l_{1}}{2}\right) \\
& l_{3}=h g\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}, z_{0}+\frac{l_{2}}{2}\right) \\
& l_{4}=h g\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{3}, z_{0}+l_{3}\right) \\
& \Delta z=\frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right) \\
& z_{1}=z_{0}+\Delta z
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we can find the next increments by replacing $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{z}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{1}$ and so on.

## 11. In the derivation of $4^{\text {th }}$ order Runge kutta formula, why it is called fourth order.

## Solution:

The number of parameters $=13$. It is called fourth order formula since the parameters are determined such that $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ obtained by Runge kutta method agrees upto $\mathrm{h}^{4}$ term in Taylor's method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12. What are the values of } k_{1} \text { and } l_{1} \text { to solve } \\
& y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad \text { by Runge kutta method of } 4^{\text {th }} \text { order. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution:
Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0 \\
& \text { put } \frac{d y}{d x}=z \quad \text { then } \quad \frac{d z}{d x}+x z+y=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{d z}{d x}=-x z-y
\end{aligned}
$$

Also given $\mathrm{x}_{0}=0, \mathrm{y}_{0}=1, y_{0}^{\prime}=z_{0}=0$
$\mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{hf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{hz}_{0}=0$.
$l_{1}=\operatorname{hg}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{h}\left(-\mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{z}_{0}-\mathrm{y}_{0}\right)$
$=h(0-1)$
$=-\mathrm{h}$.
13. What do you mean by total error in error analysis?

Solution:
The difference between the computed value $y_{i}$ and the true value $y\left(x_{i}\right)$ at any stage is known as the total error. It is comprised of truncation error and round off error.
14. State the special advantage of Runge kutta method over Taylor series method. (or) Compare Taylor series and Runge kutta method. (or) Which is better Taylor method or Runge kutta method.

## Solution:

Runge kutta methods do not require prior calculation of higher derivatives of $y(x)$, as the Taylor method does. Also the Runge kutta formulas involve the computation of $f(x, y)$ at various positions, instead of derivatives and this function occurs in the given equation. Hence Runge kutta method is better method.

## 15. Runge kutta formulas involve the computation of $f(x, y)$ at various points instead of

## Solution:

Calculation of higher order derivatives of $f(x, y)$.

## 16. Compare Taylor series and Runge kutta method.

## Solution:

1. The use of Runge kutta method gives quick convergence to the solutions of the differential equation than Taylor's series method.
2. In Runge kutta method, the derivatives of higher order are not required for calculation as in Taylor's series method.
3. Write the formula to find $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{4}}$ in $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{k}$ method of fourth order.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right) \\
& k_{4}=h f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

18. If $f(x, y)=f(x)$ i.e. only a function of $x$ alone, then the fourth order Runge kutta method reduces to $\qquad$
Solution:
The area by Simpson's one-third rule.

## 19. Compare Runge kutta method and predictor - corrector method. Solution:

Runge kutta methods are self starting whereas predictor and corrector method are not self starting. In Runge kutta method, it is not possible to get any information about truncation error. In predictor and corrector method it is possible to get easily a good estimate of the truncation error.
20. What will you do, if there is a considerable difference between predicted value and corrected value, in predictor and corrector methods?

## Solution:

If there is a considerable difference between predicted value and corrected value, we take the corrected value as the predicted value and find out the new corrected value. This process is repeated till there is no great difference between two consecutive corrected values.

## 21. Write down the error in Milne's method.

## Solution:

The truncation error in Milne's predictor formula is $\frac{14 h^{5}}{45} y^{5}(\varepsilon) \quad$ The truncation error in Milne's corrector formula is $\frac{-h^{5}}{90} y^{5}(\varepsilon)$

## 22. What is a predictor - corrector method?

## Solution:

Predictor - corrector methods are methods which require the values of $y$ at $x_{n}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots$. for computing the values of y at $x_{n+1}$. We first use a formula to find the value of y at $x_{n+1}$ and this is known as a predictor formula. The value of y so got is improved or corrected by another formula is known as corrector formula.
23. What do you mean by saying that a method is self starting? Not self starting ? Solution:

For computing the values of y at $x_{n+1}$, we do not require the values of y at $x_{n}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots$. . This is called self starting. If we require the values of y at $x_{n}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots$. to find y at $x_{n+1}$ then it is called not self starting.
24. Write down Milne's predictor and corrector formula.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+1, p}=y_{n-3}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 y_{n-2}^{\prime}-y_{n-1}^{\prime}+2 y_{n}^{\prime}\right] \\
& y_{n+1, c}=y_{n-1}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{n-1}^{\prime}+4 y_{n}^{\prime}+y_{n+1}^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

25. What is the condition to apply Milne's method.

## Solution:

It requires four starting values of $y$.
26. Mention the multistep methods available for solving ordinary differential equation

Solution:
i) Milne's predictor - corrector method
ii) Adam's Bashforth predictor - corrector method.

## 27. Explain the terms initial and boundary value problems.

Solution:
If the conditions are prescribed at only one point then the differential equation together with the conditions form an initial value problem and if the conditions are prescribed at two or more points, then the problem is termed as boundary value problems.
28. State the Taylor series formula to find $\mathbf{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ for solving

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2} y-1, \mathbf{y}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{y}_{0}
$$

## Solution:

$y\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{0}+\left(x-x_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}}{3!} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\ldots$
29. Obtain the finite difference scheme for the differential
equation $2 \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+y=5$.

## Solution:

Given $2 \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+y=5$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(\frac{y_{i+1}+y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}}{h^{2}}\right)+y_{i}=5 \\
& 2 y_{i+1}+\left(h^{2}-4\right) y_{i}+2 y_{i-1}=5 h^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

30. Explain one-step methods and multi step methods.

Solution:
In one-step methods, we use the data of just one preceding step. In multi step methods, where in each step, we use data from more than one of the preceding steps.
31. Find $y(1.1)$ using Euler's method from $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}+y^{2}, y(1)=1$.

Solution:
$\mathrm{y}_{1}=\mathrm{y}_{0}+\mathrm{hf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}\right)=1+(0.1)[1+1]=1+0.2=1.2$
32. Compute $\mathbf{y}$ at $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ by Modified Euler's method given $\frac{d y}{d x}=2 x y, y(0)=1$. (MAY 2012)
33. Using Taylor series method, find $\mathbf{y}$ at $\mathbf{x}=0.1,0.2$ given $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}-y, y(0)=1$.
(MAY 2012)

## $\underline{\text { PART - B }}$

1. Using Taylor's series find $\mathbf{y}$ at $\mathbf{x}=0.1$ if $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2} y-1, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{1}$.
2. By means of Taylor series expansion, find $y$ at $x=0.1$ and $x=0.2$ correct to three decimal places, given $\frac{d y}{d x}-2 y=3 e^{x}, y(0)=0$.
3. Use Taylor series solution to solve numerically $\frac{d y}{d x}=x y^{\frac{1}{3}}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}$. Tabulate $\mathbf{y}$ for $x=1.1,1.2$ (MAY 2011)
4.Find the value of $y(1.1)$ and $y(1.2)$ from $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+y^{2} \frac{d y}{d x}=x^{3}, y(1)=1, y^{\prime}(1)=1$ by using Taylor's series method.
(MAY 2009)
4. Use Euler's method to approximate $\mathbf{y}$ when $\mathrm{x}=0.1$ given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{y-x}{y+x}$ with $\mathbf{y}$ $=\mathbf{1}$ for $\mathrm{x}=0$.
5. Solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=1-y$ with the initial condition $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}$. Using Euler's algorithm, tabulate the solutions at $\mathbf{x}=0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4$. Get the solutions by Euler's modified method also.
6. Given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=\log _{10}(x+y)$ with the initial condition that $y=1$ when $x=0$, use Euler's modified method to find $y$ for $x=0.2$ and $x=0.5$ in more accurate form.
7. Using R-K method of fourth order, solve $y^{\prime}=3 x+\frac{1}{2} y$ with $y(0)=1$ at $x=0.2$
taking $h=0.1$
8. Use $4^{\text {th }}$ order R-K method to solve $y^{\prime}=x y$ for $x=1.2,1.4,1.6$

Initially $\mathrm{x}=1, \mathrm{y}=2($ take $\mathrm{h}=0.2$ )
(DEC 2010)
10. Given $y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=0$, find the value of $y(0.1)$ by using R -K method of fourth order.
11. Consider the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order initial value problem $y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{\prime}+2 y=e^{2 t} \sin t$ with $y(0)=-0.4, y^{\prime}(0)=-0.6$
i) Using Taylor series approximation, find $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{0 . 1})$
ii) Using $4^{\text {th }}$ order R-K method, find y(0.2) (MAY 2009)
12. Solve $y^{\prime}=x-y^{2}, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \mathbf{y}(0)=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{0 . 2})=\mathbf{0 . 0 2}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{0 . 4})=\mathbf{0 . 0 7 9 5}$, $y(0.6)=0.1762$ by Milne's method to find $y(0.8)$ and $y(1)$.
13. Given $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{3}+y, y(0)=2$
i) Compute $y(0.2), y(0.4)$ and $y(0.6)$ by R-K method of $4^{\text {th }}$ order.
ii) Hence find $\mathbf{y}(0.8)$ by Milne's predictor corrector method taking $\mathbf{h}=0.2$
14. Given that $y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=0$. Obtain for $\mathbf{x}=0.1,0.2,0.3$ by Taylor's series method and find the solution for $\mathbf{y}(0.4)$ by Milne's method. (DEC 2010)
15. Given $y^{\prime}=x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) e^{-x}, \quad y(0)=1$ find $y$ at $x=0.1,0.2$ and 0.3 by Taylor's series method and compute $y(0.4)$ by Milne's method. (DEC 2010)
16. Using fourth order Runge kutta method, solve the following equation taking each step of $\mathbf{h}=0.1$ Given $\mathbf{y}(0)=3, \frac{d y}{d t}=\frac{4 t}{y}-t y \quad$ Calculate $\mathbf{y}$ for $\mathbf{x}=0.1$ and 0.2 (MAY 2011)
17. Given $y^{\prime}+x y^{2}+y=0, \quad y(0)=1$, find the value of $y(0.2)$ by using Rungekutta method of $4^{\text {th }}$ order.
18. Apply Runge-kutta method to find approximate value of $y$ for $x=0.2$ in steps of 0.1 if $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y^{2}$ given that $\mathbf{y}=1$ when $\mathbf{x}=0$. (DEC 2010)
19. Using Taylor series method find correct to four decimal places, the value of $\mathbf{y}(0.1)$ given $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}+y^{2}, y(0)=1 \cdot($ (MAY 2009)
20. Find by Taylor series method, the values of $y$ at $x=0.1$ and $x=0.2$ to four decimal places from $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2} y-1, y(0)=1$.
21. Using Euler's method, solve numerically the equation $y^{\prime}=x+y, \quad y(0)=1$, for $\quad x=0.0(0.2)(1.0)$. Check your answer with the exact solution.
22.Using the finite difference method, find $\mathbf{y}(0.25), \mathbf{y}(0.5)$ and $\mathbf{y}(0.75)$ satisfying the differential equation $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+y=x$ subject to the boundary conditions $\mathbf{y}(0)=0, \mathbf{y}(1)=2$.
23. Solve $x y^{\prime \prime}+y=0, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y}(2)=\mathbf{2}$ with $\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{0} .25$ by finite difference method.
24. Solve $y^{\prime \prime}-x y=0$ given $\mathbf{y}(0)=-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{2}$ by finite difference method taking $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}$.
25. Using finite difference method, solve for $y$ given the differential equation
$\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}+y+1=0, x \in(0,1)$ and the boundary conditions $y(0)=y(1)=0$, taking
i) $h=1 / 2 \quad$ ii) $h=1 / 4 \quad$ (MAY 2011)
22. Using finite difference method solve $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=y$ in $(0,2)$ given $y(0)=0$, $y(2)=3.63$ subdividing the range of $x$ into 4 equal parts.
27. Using finite difference method, solve for $y$ given the differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-64 y+10=0, x \in(0,1) \text { given } y(0)=y(1)=0, \text { subdividing }
$$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { the interval into } & \text { i) } 4 \text { equal parts } & \text { ii) } 2 \text { equal parts. }\end{array}$

28. Solve $y^{\prime \prime}-y=x, x \in(0,1)$ given $\mathbf{y}(0)=\mathbf{y}(1)=0$ using finite differences dividing the interval into 4 equal parts.
29. Solve $y_{x+2} 7 y_{x+1}-8 y_{x}=x(x-1) 2^{x}$. (MAY 2012)
30. Using $4^{\text {th }}$ order $R-K$ method to solve $y^{\prime}=\frac{y^{2}-x^{2}}{y^{2}+x^{2}}$ given $\mathbf{y}(0)=1$ at $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} .2,0.4$ (MAY 2012)
31. Given $y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=0$. Find the value of $\mathbf{y}(0.1)$ by using

Runge kutta method of fourth order. (MAY 2012)
33. If $y^{\prime}=\frac{y^{2}-x^{2}}{y^{2}+x^{2}}, y(0)=\mathbf{1}$, find $y(0.2), y(0.4)$ and $y(0.6)$ by at $x=\mathbf{0 . 2 , 0 . 4}$ by $R-K$ method of fourth order and hence find $\mathbf{y}(0.8)$ by Milne's method.
(DEC 2012)
34. By Taylor's series method find $\mathbf{y}(0.1), \mathbf{y}(0.2)$ and $\mathbf{y}(0.3)$ if $\frac{d y}{d x}=x-y^{2}, y(0)=1$.
(DEC 2012)
34. By Modified Euler's method find $y(0.1), y(0.2)$ and $\mathbf{y}(0.3)$ if $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y, y(0)=1$.
(DEC 2012)

