



Bayesian Classification

T.R.Lekhaa AP/IT



- Statistical Classifier.
- Predict class membership probabilities.
- i.e The probability that a given tuple belongs to a particular class.
- Based on Baye's Theorem.







$$P(H|X) = \frac{P(X|H)P(H)}{P(X)}$$

- P(H|X) = Posterior Probability of H Conditioned on X.
- E.g., H is the hypothesis that our customer will buy a computer. Then P(H|X) reflects the probability that customer X will buy a Computer given that we know the age and income of the customer.







P(H) = Prior probability of H.

- E.g., Probability that any given customer will buy a computer regardless of age, income or any other information for that matter.
- P(X|H) = Posterior probability of X conditioned on H.
- E.g., Probability that a customer, X is 35 years old and earn \$40,000 given that we know the customer will buy a computer.







- P(X) = Prior probability.
- E.g., probability that a person from our set of customers is 35 years old and earns \$40,000





Naïve Bayesian Classifier

- Let D be a training set of tuples and their associated class labels, and each tuple is represented by an n-D attribute vector $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$
- Suppose there are *m* classes C₁, C₂, ..., C_m.
- Classification is to derive the maximum posteriori, i.e., the maximal P (C_i|X). i.e. X belongs to class C_i if and only if P (C_i|X) > P (C_j|X) for 1 ≤ j ≤ m, j ≠ i
- This can be derived from Bayes' theorem

$$P(C_i | \mathbf{X}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{X} | C_i) P(C_i)}{P(\mathbf{X})}$$

• Since P(X) is constant for all classes, only $P(C_i | \mathbf{X}) = P(\mathbf{X} | C_i) P(C_i)$ needs to be maximized



• A simplified assumption: attributes are conditionally independent (i.e., no dependence relation between attributes):

$$P(\mathbf{X} \mid C_{i}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(x_{k} \mid C_{i}) = P(x_{1} \mid C_{i}) \times P(x_{2} \mid C_{i}) \times \dots \times P(x_{n} \mid C_{i})$$

- This greatly reduces the computation cost: Only counts the class
 distribution
- If A_k is categorical, P(x_k|C_i) is the # of tuples in C_i having value x_k for A_k divided by |C_i, D| (# of tuples of C_i in D)
- If A_k is continous-valued, $P(x_k|C_i)$ is usually computed based on Gaussian distribution with a mean μ and standard deviation σ

$$g(x, \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

and $P(x_k|C_i)$ is

 $P(\mathbf{X}|C_i) = g(x_k, \mu_{C_i}, \sigma_{C_i})$





Naïve Bayesian Classifier: Training Dataset

Class: C1:buys_computer = 'yes' C2:buys_computer = 'no'

Data sample X = (age <= 30, Income = medium, Student = yes Credit_rating = Fair)

age	income	student	redit_ratin	buys_computer
<=30	high	no	fair	no
<=30	high	no	excellent	110
3140	high	no	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	low	yes	excellent	no
3140	low	yes	excellent	yes
<=30	medium	no	fair	no
<=30	low	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	yes	fair	yes
<=30	medium	yes	excellent	yes
3140	medium	no	excellent	yes
3140	high	yes	fair	yes
>40	medium	no	excellent	no





Naïve Bayesian Classifier: Example

• Compute P(X/Ci) for each class

 $P(age="<30" | buys_computer="yes") = 2/9=0.222$ $P(age="<30" | buys_computer="no") = 3/5 = 0.6$ $P(income="medium" | buys_computer="yes") = 4/9 = 0.444$ $P(income="medium" | buys_computer="no") = 2/5 = 0.4$ $P(student="yes" | buys_computer="yes] = 6/9 = 0.667$ $P(student="yes" | buys_computer="no") = 1/5=0.2$ $P(credit_rating="fair" | buys_computer="yes") = 6/9=0.667$ $P(credit_rating="fair" | buys_computer="no") = 2/5=0.4$





Contd...

X=(age<=30 ,income =medium, student=yes,credit_rating=fair)

 $P(X|Ci) : P(X|buys_computer="yes") = 0.222 x 0.444 x 0.667 x 0.0.667 = 0.044$ $P(X|buys_computer="no") = 0.6 x 0.4 x 0.2 x 0.4 = 0.019$ $P(X|Ci)*P(Ci) : P(X|buys_computer="yes") * P(buys_computer="yes") = 0.028$

P(buys computer = "yes") = 9/14 = 0.643. P(X|ci)*P(Ci) = 0.044 * 0.643 = 0.028





Avoiding the 0-Probability Problem

• Naïve Bayesian prediction requires each conditional prob. be non-zero. Otherwise, the predicted prob. will be zero

$$P(X | C_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(x_k | C_i)$$

- Ex. Suppose a dataset with 1000 tuples, income=low (0), income= medium (990), and income = high (10),
- Use Laplacian correction (or Laplacian estimator)
 - Adding 1 to each case

Prob(income = low) = 1/1003

Prob(income = medium) = 991/1003

Prob(income = high) = 11/1003

 The "corrected" prob. estimates are close to their "uncorrected" counterparts





Bayesian Belief Networks

- Bayesian belief network allows a *subset* of the variables conditionally independent
- A graphical model of causal relationships
 - Represents dependency among the variables
 - Gives a specification of joint probability distribution



- Nodes: random variables
- Links: dependency
- X and Y are the parents of Z, and Y is the parent of P
- □ No dependency between Z and P
- Has no loops or cycles





Bayesian networks

- A simple, graphical notation for conditional independence assertions and hence for compact specification of full joint distributions
- Syntax:
 - a set of nodes, one per variable
 - a directed, acyclic graph (link \approx "directly influences")
 - a conditional distribution for each node given its parents:

 $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_i | \text{Parents}(\mathbf{X}_i))$

 In the simplest case, conditional distribution represented as a conditional probability table (CPT) giving the distribution over X_i for each combination of parent values





Example

 Topology of network encodes conditional independence assertions:



- Weather is independent of the other variables
- *Toothache* and *Catch* are conditionally independent given *Cavity*





Thank You...