

# SNS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING



TOPIC:6-Problems based on inference theory of Statement Calculus

1 Demonstrate that R is a valid inference from

1 premises P \rightarrow \alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \text{R and P}.

1 premises P \rightarrow \alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \text{R and P}.

1 pre given premises are

1 P \rightarrow \alpha

2 a \rightarrow \text{R}

3 P

313	1) P→Q	Rule P
{2}	2) P	Rule P
§1,2}	3) Q	Rule T (P, P $\rightarrow$ Q $\Rightarrow$ Q)
543	4) Q→R	Rule P
{1,2,4}	5) R	Rule T (P, P→Q ⇒ a
1 )		



### SNS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Coimbatore – 641 107



show that  $(P \rightarrow Q) \land (R \rightarrow S)$ ,  $(Q \nmid M) \land (S \rightarrow N)$ 

$$\neg (MNN)$$
 and  $(P \rightarrow R) \Rightarrow \neg P$ .

$$(Q \rightarrow M) \wedge (S \rightarrow N)$$
,  $\neg (M \wedge N)$  and  $(P \rightarrow R)$ 

(on dusion is - P.



## SNS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Coimbatore – 641 107



Prove that the following argument is valid:

$$p \rightarrow \neg q, \gamma \rightarrow q, \gamma \Rightarrow \neg p$$

Given premises are  $p \rightarrow \neg q$ ,  $\gamma \rightarrow q$ ,  $\gamma$ 

conclusion is - P.



# SNS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Coimbatore – 641 107



513	1) 7	Rule P
{2}	2) ~→q	Rule P
\$1,23	3) 9	Rule $T(P, P \rightarrow Q \Rightarrow Q)$
<i>{</i> 4 <i>{</i>	4) p→¬9	Rule P
ξ1,2,4}	5) ¬P	Ruh T (P→¬a,a ⇒ ¬P)