



TOPIC: 2 - Tautology & Logical Equivalence

Tautology

A statement which is true always irrespective of the truth values of the individual variables is called a tautology.

Example PV-P is a Tautology.

Contradiction

A statement which is always false is called a contradiction.

Example PA-P is a contradiction.

contiguncy

A statement which is neither Tautology nor contradiction is called contiguncy.

1) Show that @AV(PA-Q) V (¬PA-Q) is a tautology.

P	a	¬P	-na	PATQ	av(PA-a)	¬PA¬Q	5
Т	Т	F	F	F	Т	F	Τ
Т	F	F	T	T	T	F	Т
F	T	T	F	F .	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	F	т \	Т

.. Given statement is Tautology.





3 Show that $(P \rightarrow Q) \land (Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$ is a tautology.

P	a	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	Q → R	$(P\rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q\rightarrow R)$	P→R	S
	Т	Т	Т	T	т	T	T
T I	,	F	Т	F	F /	F	T
T	F	т	F	т	F	T	Τ
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	Т
F	T	Т	T	Т	Т	T	T
F	Т	F	т	F	F	т /	T
F	F	T	T /	T	T.	T	Т
F	F	F	T	T	Т	7	Т

· Prove ((pvq) 1 - (-p1 (-qv-r))) V (-P1-q)
v (-p1-r) is a tautology.

þ	9	۲	10 10	79 (2)	(3)	pv9 (+)	79V7Y (5)	19 V 77	(1)	(4) A		(4)	(1)	(P) V (ID)
	_	-	-	F	F	T	F	F	T	T	F	T	(m)	
T	1	1	1	V Silver	T	T	Т	F	Т	T	F	T	F	Т
T	T	F	F	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	ī	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	Т	Т	F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	Т	T	F	F	Т	F	F	T	T	F	T	F	T
W.	T	F	T	F	T	T	Т	₽T	F	F	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	Т	F	F	T	T	F	F	Т	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	Т	F	Т	Т	F	F	T	7	1	T





Equivalence

Two statements P and Q are equivalent iff $P \leftrightarrow Q$ or $P \rightleftarrows Q$ is a tautology. It is denoted by the symbol $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ which is read as "P is equivalent to Q".

Idempotent Laws	PAB⇔P PVP⇔P
Associative Laws	$\begin{array}{c} (p \wedge q) \wedge Y \iff p \wedge (q \wedge Y) \\ (p \vee q) \vee Y \iff p \vee (q \vee Y) \end{array}$
Commutative Laws	PAQ SAAP
De Morgan's Laws	$\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \lor \neg q)$ $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \land \neg q)$
Distributive Laws	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ $p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
Complement Laws	$P \land \neg P \Leftrightarrow F$ $P \lor \neg P \Leftrightarrow T$
Absorption Laws	$P \vee (P \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow P$ $P \wedge (P \vee q) \Leftrightarrow P$
contrapositive Law	$p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
Conditional as	$p \rightarrow q \iff \neg p \vee q$
Biconditional as	$p \leftrightarrow q \iff (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p)$





```
(PVQ) ∧ (¬P∧(¬P∧Q)) (¬P∧Q)) (¬P∧Q)) (¬P∧Q))

⇒ (PVQ) ∧ (¬P∧¬P) ∧ Q) [∴ Associative in the component loss of t
```

Tautological Implication

A statement P is said to be tautologically imply a statement Q iff $P \rightarrow Q$ is a tautology. We shall denote this idea by A^{\pm}

```
Prove that (P \rightarrow Q) \land (R \rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow (PVR) \rightarrow Q

T.S.T (P \rightarrow Q) \land (R \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow ((PVR) \rightarrow Q) is a tautology.

(P \rightarrow Q) \land (R \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow ((PVR) \rightarrow Q)

\Leftrightarrow (\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg R \lor Q) \rightarrow (\neg (PVR) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow (\neg P \land \neg R) \lor Q) \Rightarrow (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow (\neg P \land \neg R) \lor Q) \Rightarrow (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q) \Rightarrow (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q) \rightarrow (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q) \lor (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q) \lor (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q) \lor (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q) \lor (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q) \lor (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q) \lor (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)

\Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg (P \lor R) \lor Q)
```





```
3 show that ((PVQ) 1 - (-P/ (-QV-R))) V
(¬PA¬Q) V (¬PA¬R) is a taulology.
consider (PVa) 17 (7P1 (7aV7R))

← (PVQ) A ¬ (¬PA¬(QAR)) [-: Demorgan's

⇒ (PVQ) ∧ ¬ (¬ (PV(QAR))) [: Demargan's]

⇒ (PVQ) ∧ (PV(Q∧R)) [ Double negation
(PYG) A (PYQ) A (PYR) [: Distributive la

⇒ P v (a n (anR))

⇒ P v ((a na) n R) [. Associative low]

                        [: Idempotent law]

⇒ P V (QAR)

Now
 (-PA-Q) V (-PA-R)

→ ¬ (PVQ) V ¬ (PVR) [Demorgan's law]

→ ¬ ((PVQ) ∧ (PVR)) [ Demorgan's Law]

⇒ ¬ (PV (QAR)) [: Distributive law]

NOW (PV(QAR)) V → (PV(QAR)) ⇔ T
 Hence the gn. equation is a tautology.
```