



**SNS COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY**  
**An Autonomous Institution**  
**Coimbatore-35**



Accredited by NBA – AICTE and Accredited by NAAC – UGC with 'A+' Grade  
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai

**DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING**

**19GET276 – VQAR II**

II YEAR/ IV SEMESTER

**UNIT 3 – CRITICAL REASONING**

TOPIC – STATEMENT & ARGUMENT



# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should all the drugs patented and manufactured in Western countries be first tried out on sample basis before giving licence for sale to general public in India?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. Many such drugs require different doses and duration for Indian population and hence it is necessary.
- II. No. This is just not feasible and hence cannot be implemented.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option A

**Explanation:**

Clearly, health of the citizens is an issue of major concern for the Government. So, a product like drugs, must be first studied and tested in the Indian context before giving licence for its sale. So, only argument I holds strong.



# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should there be students union in college/university?

**Arguments:**

- I. No. This will create a political atmosphere in the campus.
- II. Yes, it is very necessary Students are future political leaders.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option E

**Explanation:**

The students union formation shall be a step towards giving to students the basic education in the field of politics. However, it shall create the same political atmosphere in the campus. Thus, both the arguments hold strong.





# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should cottage industries be encouraged in rural areas?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. Rural people are creative.
- II. Yes. This would help to solve the problem of unemployment to some extent.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option B

**Explanation:**

Clearly, cottage industries need to be promoted to create more job opportunities for rural people in the villages themselves. The reason that rural people are creative is vague. So, only argument II holds.



# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should young entrepreneurs be encouraged?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. They will help in industrial development of the country.
- II. Yes. They will reduce the burden on employment market.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option E

**Explanation:**

Clearly, encouraging the young entrepreneurs will open up the field for the establishment of new industries. Thus, it shall help in industrial development and not only employ the entrepreneurs but create more job opportunities for others as well. So, both the arguments hold strong.





# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should new big industries be started in Mumbai?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. It will create job opportunities.
- II. No. It will further add to the pollution of the city.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option C

**Explanation:**

Opening up of new industries is advantageous in opening more employment avenues, and disadvantageous in that it adds to the pollution. So, either of the arguments holds strong.



# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should internal assessment in colleges be abolished?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. This will help in reducing the possibility of favouritism.
- II. No, teaching faculty will lose control over students.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option A

**Explanation:**

Abolishing the internal assessment would surely reduce favouritism on personal grounds because the teachers would not be involved in examination system so that they cannot extend personal benefits to anyone. So, argument I holds strong. But it will not affect the control of teaching faculty on students because still the teachers would be teaching them. So, argument II is vague.





# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should foreign films be banned in India?

**Arguments:**

I. Yes. They depict an alien culture which adversely affects our values.

II. No. Foreign films are of a high artistic standard.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option D

**Explanation:**

Clearly, foreign films depict the alien culture but this only helps in learning more. So, argument I does not hold. Also, the reason stated in argument II is not strong enough in contradicting the ban. So, it also does not hold.





# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should non-vegetarian food be totally banned in our country?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. It is expensive and therefore it is beyond the means of most people in our country.
- II. No. Nothing should be banned in a democratic country like ours.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option B

**Explanation:**

Clearly, restriction on the diet of people will be denying them their basic human right. So, only argument II holds.



# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should government jobs in rural areas have more incentives?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. Incentives are essential for attracting government servants there.
- II. No. Rural areas are already cheaper, healthier and less complex than big cities. So ? Why offer extra incentives!

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option A

**Explanation:**

Clearly, government jobs in rural areas are underlined with several difficulties. In lieu of these, extra incentives are needed. So, only argument I holds strong.





# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should so much money be spent on advertisements?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. It is an essential concomitant in a capitalist economy.
  - II. No. It leads to wastage of resources.
- A. Only argument I is strong
  - B. Only argument II is strong
  - C. Either I or II is strong
  - D. Neither I nor II is strong
  - E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option A

**Explanation:**

Clearly, the advertisements are/the means to introduce people with the product and its advantages. So, argument I holds strong. But argument II is vague because advertisements are an investment for better gain and not a wastage.



# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should fashionable dresses be banned?

**Arguments:**

- I. Yes. Fashions keep changing and hence consumption of cloth increases.
- II. No. Fashionable clothes are a person's self expression and therefore his/her fundamental right.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option B

**Explanation:**

Clearly, imposing ban on fashionable dresses will be a restriction on the personal choice and hence the right to freedom of an individual. So, only argument II is strong.





# STATEMENT AND ARGUMENT



**Statement:** Should higher education be completely stopped for some time?

**Arguments:**

I. No. It will hamper the country's future progress.

II. Yes. It will reduce the educated unemployment.

- A. Only argument I is strong
- B. Only argument II is strong
- C. Either I or II is strong
- D. Neither I nor II is strong
- E. Both I and II are strong

**Answer:** Option A

**Explanation:**

Clearly, higher education is not the cause of unemployment. In fact, it has created greater job opportunities. So, argument II is vague. Also, higher education promotes the country's development. So, argument I holds.



# THANK YOU