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## Dynamic Programming Edit Distance

Dynamic programming is the name for a class of algorithms, first introduced by Bellman (1957), that apply a table-driven method to solve problems by combining solutions to subproblems. Some of the most commonly used algorithms in natural language processing make use of dynamic programming.

The intuition of a dynamic programming problem is that a large problem can be solved by properly combining the solutions to various subproblems.

The minimum edit distance algorithm was named by Wagner and Fischer but independently discovered by many people.

## Dynamic Programming Edit Distance

Let's first define the minimum edit distance between two strings. Given two strings, the source string X of length $n$, and target string $Y$ of length $m$, we'll define $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}]$ as the edit distance between $\mathrm{X}[1:: \mathrm{i}]$ and $\mathrm{Y}[1::$ $j$ j, i.e., the first i characters of X and the first j characters of Y . The edit distance between X and Y is thus $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m}]$.

We'll use dynamic programming to compute $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m}]$ bottom up, combining solutions to subproblems.

In the base case, with a source substring of length i but an empty target string, going from i characters to 0 requires i deletes.

With a target substring of length j but an empty source going from 0 characters to j characters requires j inserts. Having computed $D[i ; j]$ for small $i ; j$ we then compute larger

## Dynamic Programming Edit Distance

$$
D[i, j]=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
D[i-1, j]+\operatorname{del}-\operatorname{cost}(\text { source }[i]) \\
D[i, j-1]+\text { ins-cost }(\text { arget }[j]) \\
D[i-1, j-1]+\text { sub-cost }(\text { source }[i], \text { targeet }[j])
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we assume the version of Levenshtein distance in which the insertions and deletions each have a cost of 1 (ins-cost(.) = del-cost(.) = 1), and substitutions have a cost of 2 (except substitution of identical letters have zero cost), the computation for $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}]$ becomes:

## Dynamic Programming Edit Distance

```
function MIN-EDIT-DISTANCE(sounce, target) returns min-distance
    n\leftarrowLENGTH(sounce)
    m\leftarrowLENGTH(target)
    Create a distance matrix }D[n+1,m+1
    # Initialization: the zeroth row and column is the distance from the empty string
    D[O,O]= O
    for each row i from 1 to }n\mathrm{ do
        D[i,O]<D[i-1,O]+del-cost(sounce[i])
    for each column }j\mathrm{ from 1 to m do
        D[O,j]\leftarrowD[O,j-1]+ins-cost(target[j])
    # Recurrence nelation:
    for each row i from 1 to }n\mathrm{ do
            for each column }j\mathrm{ from 1 to m do
                D[i,j]\leftarrowMIN(D[i-1,j] + del-cost(sounce[i]),
                D[i-1,j-1]+\operatorname{sub-cost(source[i], target [j]),}
                D[i,j-1]+ins-cost(target[j]))
    # Termination
    return }D[\textrm{n},\textrm{m}
```

Figure 2.17 The minimum edit distance algorithm, an example of the class of dynamic programming algorithms. The various costs can either be fixed (e.g., $\forall x$, ins- $\operatorname{cost}(x)=1$ ) or can be specific to the letter (to model the fact that some letters are more likely to be inserted than others). We assume that there is no cost for substituting a letter for itself (i.e., $\operatorname{sub}-\operatorname{cost}(x, x)=0)$.

## Dynamic Programming Edit Distance

| $\operatorname{Src} \backslash T a r$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{u}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\#$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{t}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{t}$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{n}$ | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 |

Figure 2.18 Computation of minimum edit distance between intention and execution with the algorithm of Fig. 2.17, using Levenshtein distance with cost of 1 for insertions or deletions, 2 for substitutions.
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