



TOPIC : 2 - Tautology & Logical Equivalence

Tautology

A statement which is true always irrespective of the truth values of the individual variables is called a tautology.

Example $P \vee \neg P$ is a Tautology.

Contradiction

A statement which is always false is called a contradiction.

Example $P \wedge \neg P$ is a contradiction.

Contingency

A statement which is neither Tautology nor contradiction is called contingency.

- ① Show that $Q \vee (P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$P \wedge \neg Q$	$Q \vee (P \wedge \neg Q)$	$\neg P \wedge \neg Q$	S
T	T	F	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	F	T	T

\therefore Given statement is Tautology.



③ Show that $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R)$	$P \rightarrow R$	S
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Hence the given statement is a tautology.

④ Prove $((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R))) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)$ is a tautology.

P	Q	R	$\neg P$ (1)	$\neg Q$ (2)	$\neg R$ (3)	$P \vee Q$ (4)	$\neg Q \vee \neg R$ (5)	$\neg P \wedge \neg Q \vee \neg R$ (6)	$\neg(\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R))$ (7)	$(\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)$ (8)	$(\neg P \wedge \neg Q \vee \neg R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)$ (9)	$(\neg P \wedge \neg Q \vee \neg R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$ (10)
T	T	F	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	F
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T	T	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	T	F	F	T	T	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	F	T	T	F	F	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	T	T



Equivalence

Two statements P and Q are equivalent iff $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ or $P \Leftarrow Q$ is a tautology. It is denoted by the symbol $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ which is read as "P is equivalent to Q".

Logical Equivalences (or) Equivalence rules		
Idempotent Laws	$P \wedge P \Leftrightarrow P$	$P \vee P \Leftrightarrow P$
Associative Laws	$(P \wedge Q) \wedge R \Leftrightarrow P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$	$(P \vee Q) \vee R \Leftrightarrow P \vee (Q \vee R)$
Commutative Laws	$P \wedge Q \Leftrightarrow Q \wedge P$	$P \vee Q \Leftrightarrow Q \vee P$
De Morgan's Laws	$\neg(P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$	$\neg(P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$
Distributive Laws	$P \wedge (Q \vee R) \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$	$P \vee (Q \wedge R) \Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$
Complement Laws	$P \wedge \neg P \Leftrightarrow F$	$P \vee \neg P \Leftrightarrow T$
Absorption Laws	$P \vee (P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow P$	$P \wedge (P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow P$
contrapositive Law	$P \rightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$	
conditional as disjunction	$P \rightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee Q$	
Biconditional as conditional	$P \Leftrightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$	

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{E ① show that } (\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \wedge R)) \vee (Q \wedge R) \vee (P \wedge R) \\
 & \quad \vdash (\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \wedge R)) \vee (Q \wedge R) \vee (P \wedge R) \\
 & \quad \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \wedge R)) \vee ((Q \vee P) \wedge R) \\
 & \quad \qquad \qquad \qquad (\because \text{ Distributive law}) \\
 & \quad \Leftrightarrow ((\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \wedge R) \vee ((Q \vee P) \wedge R) \\
 & \quad \qquad \qquad \qquad (\because \text{ Associative law}) \\
 & \quad \Leftrightarrow [(\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (Q \vee P)] \wedge R \quad (\because \text{ Distributive law}) \\
 & \quad \Leftrightarrow [\neg(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \vee Q)] \wedge R \quad (\because \text{ De morgan's law}) \\
 & \quad \Leftrightarrow T \wedge R \qquad \qquad \qquad [\because P \vee \neg P \Leftrightarrow T] \\
 & \quad \Leftrightarrow R \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad [\because P \wedge T \Leftrightarrow P]
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 ⑧ \text{ Show that } & (P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \wedge (\neg P \wedge Q)) \Leftrightarrow (\neg P \wedge Q) \\
 & (P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \wedge (\neg P \wedge Q)) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge ((\neg P \wedge \neg P) \wedge Q) \quad [\because \text{Association law}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P \wedge Q) \quad [\because \text{Idempotent law}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge (\neg P \wedge Q)) \wedge (Q \wedge (\neg P \wedge Q)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad [\because \text{Distributive law}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow ((P \wedge \neg P) \wedge Q) \wedge (Q \wedge (\neg P \wedge Q)) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad [\because \text{Associative law}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q) \wedge (Q \wedge (Q \wedge \neg P)) \quad [\because \text{commutative}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow Q \wedge ((Q \wedge Q) \wedge \neg P) \quad [\because \text{Associative}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow Q \wedge (Q \wedge \neg P) \quad [\because \text{Idempotent}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (Q \wedge Q) \wedge \neg P \quad [\because \text{Associative}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow Q \wedge \neg P \quad [\because \text{Idempotent}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Tautological Implication

A statement P is said to be tautologically imply a statement Q iff $P \rightarrow Q$ is a tautology. We shall denote this idea by \models .

$$\begin{aligned}
 ② \text{ Prove that } & (\neg(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (R \rightarrow Q)) \Rightarrow ((P \vee R) \rightarrow Q) \\
 \text{T.S.T } & (\neg(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (R \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow ((P \vee R) \rightarrow Q) \text{ is a tautology.} \\
 (\neg(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (R \rightarrow Q)) & \rightarrow ((P \vee R) \rightarrow Q) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (\neg(\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg R \vee Q)) \rightarrow (\neg(\neg(P \vee R) \vee Q)) \quad [\because P \rightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee Q] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow ((\neg\neg P \wedge \neg R) \vee Q) \rightarrow (\neg(\neg(P \vee R) \vee Q)) \quad [\because \text{Distribution}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow (\neg(\neg(P \vee R) \vee Q)) \rightarrow (\neg(\neg(P \vee R) \vee Q)) \quad [\text{Demorgan's law}] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg(P \vee R) \vee Q)) \vee (\neg(\neg(P \vee R) \vee Q)) \quad [\because P \rightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee Q] \\
 & \Leftrightarrow T \quad [\neg P \vee P \Leftrightarrow T]
 \end{aligned}$$



③ show that $((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R))) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)$ is a tautology.

Consider $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R))$

$$\Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(\neg P \wedge \neg(Q \wedge R)) \quad [\because \text{Demorgan's law}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge \neg(\neg(P \vee (Q \wedge R))) \quad [\because \text{Demorgan's law}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee (Q \wedge R)) \quad [\because \text{Double negation}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R) \quad [\because \text{Distributive law}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P \vee (Q \wedge (Q \wedge R))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P \vee ((Q \wedge Q) \wedge R) \quad [\because \text{Associative law}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P \vee (Q \wedge R) \quad [\because \text{Idempotent law}]$$

Now

$$(\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg(P \vee Q) \vee \neg(P \vee R) \quad [\text{Demorgan's law}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg((P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)) \quad [\text{Demorgan's law}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \neg(P \vee (Q \wedge R)) \quad [\because \text{Distributive law}]$$

$$\text{Now } (P \vee (Q \wedge R)) \vee \neg(P \vee (Q \wedge R)) \Leftrightarrow T$$

Hence the given equation is a tautology.